Attention Deficit / Hyperactivity Disorder in Children
2007 Position Paper

Working Party on AD/HD

Members

Dr. Chan Chok Wan President, The Hong Kong Society of Child Neurology & Developmental Paediatrics
Dr. Catherine Lam Council Member, The Hong Kong Society of Child Neurology & Developmental Paediatrics
Mr. Joseph Lau Senior Clinical Psychologist, Child Assessment Service, Department of Health
Professor Tatia Lee Professor and Academic Director of Clinical Psychology Programme, Department of Psychology, The University of Hong Kong
Professor Patrick Leung Professor and Director of Graduate Studies in Clinical Psychology, Department of Psychology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Dr. Stephenie Liu Senior Medical Officer, Child Assessment Service, Department of Health
Professor Shiu Ling Po Associate Professor, Department of Educational Psychology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong
This paper was prepared in consultation with

Hong Kong Hospital Authority Child Psychiatry Service Working Group

Dr. Luk Siu Luen
Adjunct Professor, Department of Psychiatry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong; Past Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, University of Melbourne & Monash University, Australia

Professor Ho Lok Sang
President of the Hong Kong Economic Association; Professor, Department of Economics & Director, Centre for Public Policy Studies, Lingnan University, Hong Kong

Professor Daniel Shek
Professor, Department of Social Work & Director, Quality of Life Centre, Hong Kong Institute of Asia-Pacific Studies, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Dr. Lee Chi Chiu
Consultant Psychiatrist, Kwai Chung Hospital, Hospital Authority, Hong Kong

Professor Cheng Pui Wan
Assistant Professor, Department of Educational Psychology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong
21 March 2007 Draft Paper Consultation Forum
Participants

Mrs Daphne Blomfield Executive Councilor,
The Pathways Foundation Ltd

Dr Chan Chok Wan President, The Hong Kong Society of Child Neurology & Developmental Paediatrics

Ms Eva Chan Educational Psychologist, Assistant Education Services Secretary (Student Guidance & Counselling), Tung Wah Group of Hospitals

Dr Chan Kwok Chiu COS, Department of Paediatrics,
Alice Ho Miu Ling Nethersole Hospital

Ms May Chan Educational Psychologist,
Society of Boys’ Centres

Dr Cheng Pui Wan Assistant Professor, Department of Educational Psychology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Dr Hon Cheung Chiu Hung Legislative Council Member

Ms Daisy Cheung Consultant, Hong Kong Association of Specific Learning Disabilities

Dr Chow Chun Bong COS, Department of Paediatrics,
Caritas Medical Centre

Principal Natalie Chow Luk Principal, Hui Chung Shing Memorial School

Mr Ferrick Chu Chief Equal Opportunities Officer,
Equal Opportunities Commission

Professor Ho Lok Sang Professor, Department of Economics,
Lingnan University

Mr. Hung Chi Hong Executive Council Member, Hong Kong Association of Specific Learning Disabilities

Dr Hung Se Fong Hospital Chief Executive, Kwai Chung Hospital

Mrs Hung Wong Lai Ping Principal, Caritas St. Joseph Secondary School

Ms Iris Keung Chairman, HK Association of Specific Learning Disabilities

Principal Carol Kwong Principal, HMW Secondary School

Dr Kelly Lai COS, Dept of Psychiatry (Child Psychiatry Service), Alice Ho Miu Ling Nethersole Hospital

Dr Catherine Lam Council Member, The Hong Kong Society of Child Neurology & Developmental Paediatrics
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position/Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Joseph Lau</td>
<td>Senior Clinical Psychologist, Child Assessment Service, Department of Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Clement Law</td>
<td>Chairman, The Hong Kong Association for AD/HD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Lee Chi Chiu</td>
<td>Consultant Psychiatrist, Kwai Chung Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Julie Lee</td>
<td>Chairperson, Parents’ Association of Pre-school Handicapped Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Tatia Lee</td>
<td>Professor and Academic Director of Clinical Psychology Programme, Department of Psychology, The University of Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Justina Leung</td>
<td>Director, The Boys' &amp; Girls’ Clubs Association of Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Cynthia Leung Man</td>
<td>Associate Professor, The Hong Kong Institution of Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Patrick Leung</td>
<td>Professor &amp; Director, Graduate Studies in Clinical Psychology, Department of Psychology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hon Leung Yiu Chung</td>
<td>Legislative Council Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Alice Ling</td>
<td>Principal Coordinator, School Social Work Service, HK Christian Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Stephenie Liu</td>
<td>Council Member, The Hong Kong Society of Child Neurology &amp; Developmental Paediatrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Leslie Lo</td>
<td>Director, Institute of Educational Research, The Chinese University of Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Luk Siu Luen</td>
<td>Adjunct Professor, Department of Psychiatry, The Chinese University of Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Flora Mo</td>
<td>Psychiatrist, Department of Psychiatry (Child Psychiatry Service), Alice Ho Miu Ling Nethersole Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs Kathy Nichols</td>
<td>Chairperson, Focus On Children’s Understanding in School</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professor Daniel Shek</td>
<td>Professor, Department of Social Work, The Chinese University of Hong Kong</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Professor Shiu Ling Po  
Associate Professor, Department of Educational Psychology, The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Professor Sin Kuen Fung  
Associate Professor, The HK Institution of Education

Dr Cheryl So  
Clinical Psychologist, Yaumatei Child Psychiatric Center

Mrs Cecilia Ting  
Executive Councillor, The Pathways Foundation Ltd

Mrs Heidi Tong  
Field Instructor, Department of Social Work & Social Administration, The University of Hong Kong

Ms Lucia Tsang  
Clinical Psychologist, Child Assessment Service, Department of Health

Ms Nancy Tsang  
Director, Heep Hong Society

Dr Sandra Tsang  
Associate Professor, Department of Social Work & Social Administration, The University of Hong Kong

Dr Philomena Tse  
Paediatrician

Dr Tsui Kwing Wan  
Paediatrician, Department of Paediatrics, Alice Ho Miu Ling Nethersole Hospital

Dr Eunice Wong  
Paediatrician

Dr Estella Woo  
Paediatrician, Child Assessment Service, Department of Health

Dr Winnie Yam  
Paediatrician

Ms Anna Yen  
Social Worker, Caritas St. Joseph Secondary School

Dr YU Chak Man  
Consultant Paediatrician, Caritas Medical Centre

Mr Philip Yuen  
Chief Officer, Service Development (Rehabilitation), The HK Council of Social Service
## Executive Summary

1

## I. Overview of AD/HD

9

Definition and Clinical Profile

9

Etiology

10

- Neurological factors
- Genetic factors
- Environmental factors

Prevalence rate

15

Diagnosis

15

Management

16

- Medical treatment
- Behaviour and emotion intervention

- Educational intervention
- Multimodal treatment
- Complementary and alternative medicine

Outcome and costs to society

24

## II. Hong Kong Scenario

26

Prevalence rate

26

Local studies

27

- Validity of AD/HD in Chinese population
- Genetic studies
- Neuroimaging studies
- Studies on assessment tools
- Intervention studies
Local services for children with AD/HD 31
  Government Policies
  Medical services
  Educational services
  Community service and family support

Challenges & Proposals 34
  Medical services
    Challenges
    Proposals
  Educational support
    Challenges
    Proposals
  Support in the community
  Service coordination

Professional Training 44
  Training of doctors
    Current situation
    Proposals
  Training of Clinical Psychologists
    Current situation
    Proposals
  Training of Educational Psychologists
    Current situation
    Proposals
  Training of Teachers
    Current situation
    Proposals
  Training of para-professionals
  Time framework for professional development

Public education 53
Research on AD/HD 54
Conclusion 54
References 55
Appendix 66
**Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (AD/HD)**

**Executive summary**

**Background**

Despite a vast body of research, knowledge and practice experience on AD/HD in many countries over the past decades, Hong Kong’s awareness and support systems for persons with AD/HD have been mainly limited to the medical sector. More recently, students with behavioural problems are increasingly recognized as having in-child factors such as AD/HD that require specific identification and help. In Hong Kong’s 2005 Rehabilitation Programme Plan Review, AD/HD was brought up as a distinct entity requiring multisectoral attention and resources, and was admitted into the Plan as a formal category of disability.

In response to a need to develop policies that provide effective and integrated systems of support, a Working Party on AD/HD was formed within HKCNDP (appendix 1) in November 2005 to lead deliberations on the subject. The group performed literature review, stock taking of local service systems and professional readiness, and drafted proposals to meet identified challenges. In-depth consultative input to the paper was obtained including from the field of child psychiatry, social work and health economics (appendix 2), and an open Forum was held with key-players and stake-holders on the draft paper (page 3). This final position paper will be issued to academic, professional, and practicing communities for reference, and to policy makers and administrators for further actions.

**What is AD/HD?**

**Definition**

AD/HD is a condition with neurobiological origin that interferes with a person’s ability to focus and sustain attention on a task, or inhibit impulsive behaviour. It is characterized by developmentally inappropriate attention skills and/or impulsivity and hyperactivity that are maladaptive, persistent and present across different settings, with onset of symptoms occurring before 7 years of age. AD/HD is not a type of specific learning disability although these may occur in the same individual.
Etiology
AD/HD is considered a generalized disorder of impulse control and performance monitoring. Converging neuropsychological neuroimaging and neurochemical studies have implicated fronto-striatal network abnormalities. Behavioural genetic studies support the view that AD/HD is at least partially familial and genetically mediated. Molecular genetic studies show evidence for dopamine D4 receptor (D4DR) gene, dopamine transporter (DAT1) gene, serotonin transporter (5-HTT) gene and dopamine D5 receptor (DRD5) gene to be strongly associated with AD/HD. A meta-analysis by Faraone, Doyle, & Mick et al. (2001) showed the association between DRD4 and ADHD is real but small in magnitude. In addition, environmental factors may play a role through biological compromising events during development of the nervous system or negative psychological factors. It is of note that negative parenting may conversely arise as a reaction to the difficult child as well as parents’ own AD/HD and other emotional disorders. Emergence of oppositional defiant disorder (ODD) or conduct disorder (CD) may in part be a result of parental malpractices, but also of partly shared genetic liability of ODD/CD with AD/HD.

How common is AD/HD?

Epidemiological reports on AD/HD vary with variations in diagnostic criteria. Prevalence rates for children are reported as around 3-7% in USA, 3% in China and 3-9% in other countries. Male to female ratio ranges from 2:1 to 9:1.

Management of AD/HD

Diagnosis
Symptoms of AD/HD are dimensional in nature, and the diagnosis of AD/HD hinges on careful developmental history taking that address the full range of symptomatology and current functioning over situational contexts in key domains of family functioning, peer relationships and academic function, and observation of behaviours as reported by adults or measured in home and clinic settings. Common comorbidities such as dyslexia and ODD have to be looked out for.
**Management**

Current practice guidelines in management involve a multidisciplinary approach including medication and behavioural interventions. Stimulant medication has been shown to significantly improve symptoms of AD/HD. Behavioural modification programmes involve children, parents and teachers. Specific skills are used, and problematic behaviours are identified for intervention. Education programmes for parents are helpful for assisting them to develop appropriate skills for managing disruptive behaviours of their children. The Multimodal Treatment Study showed that children who received medical treatment alone or combined medical and behavioural treatment demonstrated a significantly greater improvement than those who just received behavioural treatment or routine community care.

The core symptoms of AD/HD may be the underlying causes of persistent academic problems such as failed grades and expulsions. Educational interventions include academic instructional strategies, behavioural interventions and classroom accommodations. Positive results occur with effective home and school collaboration.

Overall, an approach involving pharmacological, behavioural, educational, and social interventions in partnership with the family is currently the most efficacious and preferred treatment.

**Costs to society**

The developmental impact of AD/HD ranges from short-term impairments to long-term sequelae to the individual and severe costs to the family and society. For the individual, there may be serious issues in social interactions and relationships, self-esteem, academic problems and failure, occupational difficulties, injury and accidents and substance abuse. In addition to higher direct medical costs for treatment of AD/HD, there are increased costs for treating comorbidities such as conduct and mood disorders, and costs related to accidents including those as a result of poor driving habits of persons with poor attention and impulse control. Economic burden is also incurred to schools because of increased need for school-based supportive or special education services, to the parents because of missed work for managing the child and its consequent implications to the parents’ employers, to the society because of higher association of adults with AD/HD and criminality, and work loss in adults.
with AD/HD due to poor performance, and absence from work. Medication treatment of AD/HD has been shown to be cost effective, as it is likely to reduce the overall economic burden of AD/HD by improving the child’s function and reducing the direct and indirect costs to families and other third parties.

How does the condition apply to the Chinese population and Hong Kong?

Local prevalence rate

A prevalence rate of 6.1% was found in a large sample of local school boys (Leung 1996). In young adolescents, prevalence estimates are 5.7% for boys and 3.2% for girls. From the records of the Child Assessment Service of the Hong Kong Department of Health, the boys to girls ratio was 6-8 to 1 during the period 2003-2006.

Local Studies

Validity of AD/HD disorder in the Chinese population (versus AD/HD being a culture-bound disorder of the Western culture) was studied. Factor analysis of teacher and parent questionnaires confirmed the presence of AD/HD behaviours separable from anti-social or neurotic/emotional factors, and positive association with external correlates including observed clinical features, higher exposure to biological risks during pre-, peri- and post-natal periods, history of other developmental delays and greater abnormal neurological findings. These correlations were not demonstrated in Chinese children with conduct disorder in whom social adversity was associated instead (Leung et al., 1996).

Genetic studies of Chinese children showed an association between the 2R allele of the DRD4 gene and AD/HD in Han Chinese children, where the 2R allele may be derived from the 7R allele and functions similarly to 7R. In the study, there was a biased transmission of the 2R allele from the parents to their AD/HD children (Leung et al., 2005).

Neuroimaging studies of Chinese children in Hong Kong using a voxel based MRI study showed restricted structural brain abnormalities localized to brain systems known to be necessary for attention and executive function (McAlonan G.M., 2007).
Assessment tools including the Conner’ Teacher Rating Scale (1989) and the Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) with its Teacher Report Form and Youth Self-Report were re-validated for use in Hong Kong (Leung et al., 2006).

Intervention studies include an Enhancement of Learning Behaviour Project through cooperation between schools, families and community in helping children with AD/HD (So, Leung & Hung, 2004), and a multi-modal intervention project consisting of medication, clinic based parent training, child training and consultation and liaison work with schools (Heung & Ho, 2003, Heung V., 2004).

What is the service situation in Hong Kong?

Local Services

Governmental policies
Local services have been managed largely separately within the medical, education and social sectors, although some liaison efforts have been made in some serious cases. AD/HD is recognized by the Education and Manpower Bureau in recent years as a category of special needs, while the Health & Welfare Bureau’s rehabilitation programme incorporated AD/HD as a category of disability in 2007.

Medical services
Child assessment centres of DH and HA provide diagnostic and interim support services, while child and adolescent psychiatric services of HA provide diagnosis, treatment, long-term follow up and consultative support to other medical and educational settings. A proportion of children receive support from the private sector.

Educational support in mainstream schools may be provided with additional resources and professional backend support for students identified with AD/HD. Support for learning and behavioural management varies widely in nature and intensity between schools.

Community programmes on parent skills training for managing children with AD/HD are available. However the nature and effectiveness of these programmes have generally not been validated.
What are Hong Kong’s challenges and proposals for future development?

Medical Services

Challenges
Waiting time for Child & Adolescent Psychiatry services have reached 1-3 years recently. Manpower deficiencies, including child psychiatrists and paediatricians trained to manage children with AD/HD, are serious.

Proposals
A 4-tier service model for division of labour among medical professionals is proposed.
Tier One: Non-mental health professionals.
Tier Two: Specialized teams with expertise in AD/HD management.
Tier Three: Child Psychiatry multidisciplinary teams.
Tier Four: In-patient psychiatric care teams.
These teams should work together through triage and mutual referrals as a coordinated network of support in the community and medical settings. In-service training for workers at respective level and opening of posts in public service are needed urgently.

Educational Services

Challenges
Large class size limits the amount of individualized support that teachers could provide to students with AD/HD. Manpower issues include the lack of trained teachers and paraprofessionals (or teaching assistants) for helping students with AD/HD, and the lack of good-quality training provided to them.

Proposals
Reduction of class size is a priority. Specific training should be organized systematically for teachers of AD/HD students. Paraprofessionals (teaching assistants) with adequate knowledge and skills about AD/HD should be employed in schools, and in-service training and support to school social workers and school guidance personnel on this subject should also be provided.
Coordinated services between teachers, paraprofessionals, social workers, educational psychologists, medical doctors, clinical psychologists and families are essential for supporting effective learning and behavioural management in schools. A senior member of the school should be designated to head the support team and coordinate various parties involved. School
social workers and school guidance personnel could provide case work follow through within this system.

Social services

Challenges
It is argued that a family-based approach should be adopted (Shek & Tsang, 1993), and objective as well as subjective burdens borne by the parents or caregivers of these children should be seriously taken into account. Unfortunately, even with the implementation of integrated family services in Hong Kong, the gap between rehabilitation and family service is still very wide. Parenting training programmes and family supportive services geared towards the needs of parents and family members remain grossly inadequate.

Proposals
Resources should be directed to respective operators including community service providers and integrated family service centers. Pre-service, postgraduate and in-service social work training programmes needs to be enriched with respect to coverage of AD/HD management. Evidence based social work practices have to be developed and promoted for these children and families. Peer support and advocacy groups should be guided by professionals who understand their needs and by social workers familiar with peer support group work.

Service Coordination
Multidisciplinary and multi-sectoral collaborations are vital to the treatment and rehabilitation of children with AD/HD. Affected children may be receiving medication by doctors, behavioural and emotional intervention programmes by psychologists and social workers, effective school management by teachers, teachers’ aids and educational psychologists, while families may be receiving counseling and social group work attention. All parties should be familiar with the systems in place in order to function and advocate effectively for the needs of these individuals.

Professional training for management of children/students with AD/HD
Recommendations made on pre-service, postgraduate and in-service training programmes for paediatricians and family doctors, child psychiatrists, clinical psychologists, educational psychologists, teachers, para-professionals and social workers are discussed in detail. A time framework of about ten years is envisaged to bring current deficiencies to a reasonable balance.
Public education and Research

Public education is needed for recognition of the presence of children with AD/HD and their families, on accurate understanding of its nature and the community’s service needs. Further research on the scientific and cultural aspects of the condition, as well as on effective interventions supported by evidence are critical for guiding policy and service development.

Conclusion

The movement forward will rely on input and cooperation of multiple sectors and levels, with effective triage mechanisms and transitions between levels of care, delivered with understanding of the cultural and ecological context of the children and their families in Hong Kong. The presence of adequately trained professionals, effective programmes supported by available evidence base and partnerships with families in the natural community setting are essential. As for all complex conditions where biological differences, environment and culture interact towards outcome, systems of care have to be developed with parameters that can be followed and monitored.
I. OVERVIEW OF AD/HD

DEFINITION AND CLINICAL PROFILE

Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (AD/HD) is the most common neurobehavioural childhood disorder and is among the most prevalent of chronic health conditions affecting school-aged children.

AD/HD was first described by physician Heinrich Hoffman in 1845, but it was not until 1902 that the medical community studied the characteristics of this condition. Different operational definitions have been used throughout the decades. Currently, the American Psychiatric Association’s Diagnostic and Statistical Manual and the World Health Organisation’s International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, in their latest versions, DSM-IV and ICD-10 have come to an almost identical operational definition of AD/HD with a set of 18 core symptoms (Appendix Box 1 & Box 2).

AD/HD is characterized by persistent symptoms of inattention, hyperactivity and impulsivity across situations. Onset of symptoms occurs before 7 year old although many individuals are diagnosed after the symptoms have been present for a number of years. These symptoms incur significant psychosocial impairment including difficulties in family functioning, peer relationship, and school functioning. The associated behavioural problems are excessive, long term and pervasive. Children with AD/HD are often unable to sit still, plan ahead, finish tasks or follow what is going on around them. They are perceived as disorganized and difficult to look after or to control. They appear to be well behaved at times, but lack consistency in their performance. People around them might not be aware that they have difficulty in controlling their own behaviours. A significant proportion of children with AD/HD (40 – 50%) also suffer from co-morbid conditions including Oppositional Defiant Disorder, Conduct Disorder, Bipolar Disorder, Anxiety and Mood Disorders, Tic Disorder and Learning Disorders (Szatmari, Offord and Boyle 1989). In the long term, if AD/HD is not recognized early in its course during childhood, psychosocial problems such as academic difficulties, self-esteem issues, family problems, increased risk of accident and injuries will develop affecting adjustment in adulthood. Studies showed that as many as 80% of diagnosed hyperactive children continue to have
features of AD/HD persisting into adolescence, and up to 65% into adulthood (Dulcan & Benson 1997). Some of the most common symptoms displayed by individuals with AD/HD in adulthood include losing and quitting jobs frequently, history of academic and/or career underachievement, poor ability to manage day-to-day responsibilities (e.g., completing household chores or maintenance tasks, paying bills, organizing things), relationship problems due to forgetting important matters or getting easily upset over minor ones, chronic stress and worry due to failure to accomplish goals and bad driving record due to inferior impulse control. Some very bright and talented individuals, however, are able to compensate for their AD/HD symptoms and do not experience significant problems until high school, college, or during pursuit of their careers. The difference between adults with and without AD/HD is one of degree. “These symptoms occur among these people far more frequently than they do among the rest of us and the duration of the symptoms are so severe that they impede their progress in life,” R.A. Barkley said. The results of prospective follow-up studies of children with AD/HD into adolescence and adulthood indicate significantly higher rates of grade retention, placement in special education classrooms, and dropping out of school relative to their peers (Barkley and Fischer et al., 1990). It is believed that those cases receiving timely treatment will develop fewer primary and secondary difficulties later in life.

ETIOLOGY

The aetiology of AD/HD is still to be fully elucidated, but findings are consistent with a multi-factorial hypothesis.

Neurological factors

AD/HD has been considered to be a generalized impulsivity disorder that presents with deficits in multiple domains of functioning. Impulse control and performance monitoring are executive functions of the human brain (Menon et al., 2001), abilities considered critical for intelligent behaviours. Efficient impulse inhibition requires a cognitive system that is capable of inhibiting habitual responses, in order to orchestrate behavioural outputs in accordance with intentions and situational demands (Miller, 2000). The neural correlates of impulse control have been extensively studied by functional imaging technology, e.g. functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) (Huettel et al., 2004) and positron emission tomography (PET) (Wong et al., 1986). The technology allows researchers unique avenues for viewing the activities
of the brain regions associated with cognitive inhibition. The frontal regions, particularly the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) are found to work closely together for impulse control. Activation of the ACC is probably related to the selection among competing response alternatives (Carter et al., 1998; Pardo et al., 1990), as well as to the inhibition of previously learned rules and the self-monitoring of random errors (Amos, 2000), which is essential to the inhibition of habitual responses. Furthermore, the ACC appears to monitor signals that serve to up-regulate the processes within the PFC and to process tasks that a non-habitual response is required. Such a circuit may also exercise top-down influence for the functioning of voluntary control of behaviour and thought, self-regulation, and consciousness (Posner & Digirolamo, 1998; Posner & Rothbart, 1998). Lee et al. (2001) in their fMRI study of the neural correlates of impulse control and behavioural regulation observed that both lateral PFC and ACC were associated with the cognitive process of inhibition and response regulation. The imaging data reported thus far are consistent with evidence of the role of the prefrontal cortex (PFC) in inhibitory mechanisms comes from a number of animal and human studies (e.g. Buchkremer-Ratzmann and Witte, 1996; Malloy et al., 1993; Collette et al., 2001). They also match closely with the speculations laid by previous behavioural studies (e.g. McCarthy et al., 1997; Kirino et al., 2000). Lesion studies further confirmed that damage to the lateral PFC is associated with impaired selection of plans for behaviour. Such cases are unable to choose between possible alternatives, preferring well-practiced behaviours regardless of context (Lhermitte, 1986a, 1986b; Mesulam, 2002; Petrides and Pandya, 2002). In studies on children with AD/HD, they were found to have abnormal activation patterns during attention and inhibition tasks in the right prefrontal region, the basal ganglia (striatum and putamen), and the cerebellum (Rubia et al., 1999; Teicher et al., 2000).

Anatomically, in the largest neuroimaging study of AD/HD to date, Castellanos and colleagues (2002) reported that people with AD/HD have a reduction in volume of total brain white and grey matter, and in the caudate, frontal, temporal and cerebellar regions from an early age. Study using newer techniques such in which every volume-element of whole brain is assessed (voxel-based) has reported predominantly right hemispheric grey matter deficits in basal ganglia, superior frontal gyrus and posterior cingulate (Overmeyer et al., 2001).

Neurotransmitter dysfunction or imbalance (principally among the monoamines, including dopamine and norepinephrine) has also been postulated to occur in individuals with AD/HD based on the observations of the beneficial effects of
stimulants on hyperactive (Bymaster et al., 2002; Kirley et al., 2002).

Converging neuropsychological, neuroimaging and neurochemical studies have generally implicated fronto-striatal network abnormalities as the likely cause of AD/HD.

Genetic factors

Increasing evidence also supports the view that AD/HD is at least partially familial and in part genetically mediated. Twin studies reported concordance rates for AD/HD ranged from 51% to 80% for monozygotic twins versus 29% to 33% for dizygotic twins (Gilger, Pennington, & Defries, 1992; Goodman & Stevenson, 1989; Sherman, Iacono, & McGue, 1997). Heritability estimates for individual symptom domains (hyperactivity and inattention) obtained from twin studies show a high degree of support for the influence of genes. The heritability of hyperactivity has been calculated to be between 64% and 77%, and that of attention-related behaviours to be between 76% and 98% (Goodman & Stevenson, 1989).

Newly added molecular genetic materials

Given such high heritability estimates, AD/HD is a sure target for molecular genetic studies. Since it is considered to be a complex disorder, multiple genes of mild-to-moderate effects are likely to be involved. Since 1991, there have been over 100 genetic studies, including three genome-wide scans and over 30 candidate genes studied (Bobb, Castellanos, Addington & Rapoport, 2006). Most of the candidate genes studied have been implicated through psychopharmacological, neurobiological, or animal models.

So far, relatively stronger evidence for association exists for four genes in AD/HD: the dopamine D4 and D5 receptors, and the dopamine and serotonin transporters. Dopamine receptor D4 (DRD4) gene is the most replicated gene in the field - its 7-repeat allele in exon 3 being found to be associated with AD/HD. The association of the 10-repeat allele in exon 15 of dopamine transporter (DAT1) gene with AD/HD comes second as the most replicated findings in studies. The finding that the long allele of the 44-bp insertion/deletion in the promoter region of the serotonin transporter (5-HTT) gene confers risk for AD/HD comes third in its replication in the field. Finally, there are also some associations found between different polymorphisms/alleles of dopamine receptor D5 (DRD5) gene and AD/HD, e.g., the
(CA)n repeat in the 5’ UTR. Meta-analyses have reported respective odds ratios of 1.9, 1.2, 1.3, and 1.2 for the four genes in association with AD/HD (Bobb et al., 2006). Other genes which show promise but require more replication are the dopamine D2 (DRD2) and serotonin 2A receptors (5-HT2A). A meta-analysis by Faraone, Doyle, & Mick et al. (2001) showed the association between DRD4 and ADHD is real but small in magnitude.

Thus, besides high heritability estimates obtained from behavioural genetic studies with twins, there is growing evidence from molecular genetic studies that pinpoint certain genes, indicating AD/HD as a disorder with a significant genetic component.

**Environmental factors**

As the twin and quantitative genetic studies suggest, the environment may play some role in individual differences in symptoms of AD/HD; however, these may involve biological events as well as psychological factors.

Biological events may include prenatal, perinatal and postnatal complications and malnutrition, as well as diseases, trauma, and other neurologically compromising events that may occur during the development of the nervous system before and after birth. Pregnancy complications, especially maternal smoking and alcohol abuse, low birth weight and associated minor brain haemorrhaging were found to have long-lasting effects on cognition and behaviour of a child, although the relative mechanisms mediating the effects of these events remain undetermined (Linnet et al. 2003; Milberger, Biederman, Faraone, Chen and Jones (1996)); However, the contribution of maternal stress and anxiety during pregnancy are arguable.

Elevated body lead burden during the first 2-3 years of child development has been shown to have a small but consistent and statistically significant relationship to the symptoms constituting AD/HD. However, even at high levels of lead, fewer than 38% of children are rated as having the behaviour of hyperactivity on a teacher rating scale (Needleman et al 1979), implying that most lead-poisoned children do not develop symptoms of AD/HD. And most children with AD/HD, likewise, do not have significantly elevated lead burdens. Studies have found the associated between body lead and symptoms of AD/HD to be 0.10 – 0.19 (Fergusson 1988, Silva 1988, Thomson 1989). These studies suggested that their lead levels explain no more than 4% of the variance in the expression of these symptoms in children with elevated lead.
Psychological factors and some environmental theories have been proposed to be the cause of AD/HD before. They included poor parental management of the children resulting in poor stimulus control and poor regulation of behaviour (Willis and Lovaas 1977); difficulties in parents’ overstimulation approach to caring for and managing children as well as from parental psychological problems (Carlson 1995). However, numerous twin studies conducted today have failed to show a significant contribution of rearing or common environment to the behaviours that constitute AD/HD. However, despite the large role heredity seems to play in AD/HD symptoms, they remain malleable to unique environmental influences and non-shared social learning. The actual severity of the symptoms, their continuity over development, the types of secondary symptoms and the outcome of the disorder are related in varying degrees to environmental factors (Biederman 1996, Milberger 1997, van den Oord 1997, Weiss 1993). Yet, care must be taken in interpreting these findings as evidence of a pure environmental contribution to AD/HD. It is because the genetic contribution to the family environment, the presence of symptoms and disorders in the parents similar to those evident in their children, are facts that often go overlooked. Studies on parent child interaction also showed that much of the negative behaviour of the mothers appeared to be in response to the difficult behaviour of these children, and that medication resulted in significant improvement in children’s hyperactivity and compliance, followed by improvement of parenting behaviour (Barkley 1979, 1984, 1985). Taken together, these findings suggested that the overly critical, commanding and negative behaviour of mothers of hyperactive children is most likely a reaction to the difficult, disruptive, and noncompliant behaviour of these children rather than a cause of it. The disrupted parenting many also arise from the parents’ own AD/HD and other psychological disorders, such as depression, anxiety, and antisocial behaviours/ personality. Studies also showed that the continuation of hyperactive behaviour over the years, especially oppositional behaviour in these children, are related in part to parents’ use of commands, criticism, and an over-controlling and intrusive style of management. All these tell us that comorbid ODD/CD in children with AD/HD may in part be a result of parental management practices, it does not mean that a child’s AD/HD is a result of those practices. Indeed, recent twin studies suggest that the high association of AD/HD with ODD/CD is likely to be the result of a shared genetic liability for these two disorders, with ODD/CD also being influenced by additional genetic factors (Nadder 2002). Theories of the causation of AD/HD can no longer be based solely or even primarily on social factors, such as parental characteristics, caregiving abilities, child management or other family environmental factors (Barkeley 2006).
Other environmental factors such as cultural contribution and TV viewing during early childhood contributing to symptoms of AD/HD have not been well established.

PREVALENCE RATE

Over the past decades, the prevalence rates of AD/HD have increased, but they vary substantially with the changing diagnostic criteria over time. In the USA, the prevalence rate is reported to be around 3-7% in school age children (Barkley, Fischer, Edelbrock, & Smallish, 1990). Prevalence rates in other countries have been reported to be between 3% and 9.5%, roughly analogous to U.S. data (Gingerich et al., 1998). Studies of Chinese school children have reported prevalence rates of AD/HD ranging from 1.3 to 13.6% depending on the assessment instrument utilized. Based on the DSM-III diagnostic requirements, 3% of primary school children in China were said to meet the diagnosis (Tao, 1992). The disorder is more frequent in males than in females, with male-to-female ratio ranging from 2:1 to 9:1, depending on the subtype and setting.

DIAGNOSIS

Early diagnosis and intervention of children with AD/HD is key to the success of management of this group of children. As AD/HD symptoms are clearly dimensional in nature, the clinician is concerned with a constellation of excessive and inappropriate symptoms that significantly interferes with child’s ability to function at home, in school or with friends. Thorough diagnostic assessment and comprehensive treatment are needed to address its full range of symptomatology and associated problems. To facilitate diagnostic formulation, thorough clinical assessment is needed to gather information not only for ascertainment of the diagnosis of AD/HD, but also for differentiation of the presenting problems from other psychiatric disorders, presence/absence of other co-occurring psychiatric disorders, and identification of risk and protective factors for the child and the family. Because the definition of AD/HD is currently a behavioural one based on the individual’s functioning in daily life, assessment procedures must focus on the observable behaviours as reported by adults or otherwise measured in natural (home and classroom) and clinic settings. Three areas of psychosocial impairments common in children with AD/HD—difficulties in family functioning, peer relationships and academic functioning—are predictive of negative long-term outcome and they should
be treated as key assessment domains. There is as yet no single test or measure for the disorder. Screening for AD/HD is most efficiently accomplished with parent and teacher rating scales. A clinical interview is essential to assess the development and current functioning of the child. Also the assessment should obtain information about onset and rule out co-morbidities, such as dyslexia, oppositional defiant disorder, anxiety disorder and tics. The clinician should evaluate the child’s functioning in key domains of peer, parent and teacher relationships, academic progress, the classroom and the family. Clinicians are likely to miss out “inattentiveness” as the primary symptom in AD/HD children. They are not “hyper” but are often sluggish and lethargic, have serious difficulties in sustaining, focusing and shifting their attention to tasks. We should recognize that some children suffer from chronic problems of inattention without any significant hyperactivity.

In sum, the diagnostic process of AD/HD is complicated in several ways. The manifestations of the symptoms vary with age of the child, situational contexts, co-occurring disorders and associated impairment. Such variability will affect the accuracy of the description of the child’s behaviour by different informants. Data gathered from the diagnostic interview and behaviour rating scales are also subject to bias or error arising from the informant’s mental state or beliefs about the disorder. It is important to weigh symptoms by their severity and significance, not just counting them, when assessing impairment. The constructs of executive dysfunction and frontal lobe functioning have played prominent roles in discussions of the core deficit in AD/HD. However, reliable markers of AD/HD are yet to be demonstrated.

MANAGEMENT

Aside from supporting diagnostic formulation, thorough clinical assessment can facilitate the formulation of a comprehensive treatment plan by addressing the impacts of AD/HD on a child’s life (e.g., cognitive and social development, family circumstances), the child’s and parent’s belief about the disorder and attitude toward the treatment options, and previous treatment responses if applied. Current practice guidelines suggest a multidisciplinary approach (Hill & Taylor, 2001) in which stimulant medication is an integral part. Intervention involves the individual, family, parent and teachers. Remediation of social skills to improve interpersonal interactions, and coaching to improve organization and study skills, are also useful adjuncts to treatment. The needs of children with AD/HD are typically not addressed within one setting. One of the most crucial aspects of treatment planning is to establish alliance
with the parents, the patient, and in some cases, the school, sufficiently to permit consistent implementation of specific treatment interventions across settings. Psycho-educational interventions are also of paramount importance.

Medical treatment

Medical treatment with stimulants is now considered the first-line treatment for children and adults with AD/HD based on the extensive efficacy and safety data of the stimulants (Greenhill & Osman, 1999, and the MTA cooperate group 2004). According to an American Medical Association Report, more than 170 studies involving more than 6000 children using stimulant medications for AD/HD show that stimulant medications significantly improve symptoms of AD/HD for up to 75% of those who are treated (Swanson et al., 1993; Spencer et al, 1996).

Stimulants are sympathomimetic drugs that increase intrasynaptic catecholamines (mainly dopamine) by inhibiting the presynaptic reuptake mechanism and releasing presynaptic catecholamines. The most commonly used stimulants include methylphenidate (Ritalin, Concerta) and amphetamine (Dexedrine, Adderall). Common unwanted effects of stimulants include appetite suppression (which may lead to weight loss), mild sleep disturbance, and irritability. Long acting stimulant medication is preferred. As a result, the child only needs to take the medication once a day, which will remove the trouble of giving medications at school and reduce the stigma attached to taking medication. Children often refuse to take the medication at school because they feel being singled out.

Selective norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor (Atomoxetine) is the medication to be considered for those cases who do not respond well to stimulants. Other indications include unacceptable side effects from first line drugs, presence of significant tics, severe oppositional behaviour, and the risk of substance abuse. Other medications include Tricyclic antidepressants (Imipramine, Amitriptyline, Desipramine) and α-adrenergic agonists (Clonidine, Guanfacine).

Despite the effectiveness of stimulant medications, local parents usually display a great resistance to their use. It may be due to a lack of understanding how the medication works, unrealistic fears of the side effects of medication including poor growth and drug dependence, and unfavorable reactions from other family members or other people who are providing care to the child. Hence, an important aspect of treatment for AD/HD is education of the patient, family, the school and the
community about the nature of the disorder and how it can be treated.

**Behavioural and emotional intervention**

In behavioural modification programmes, parents, teachers and children need to learn specific skills from professionals who are experienced with the approach that can improve these children’s behaviour. Intervention programmes for both parents and children should be carried out at the same time for best results. Parent training can be conducted either in groups or with individual families. Individual sessions are often implemented when a group is not available or when the family would benefit from a tailored approach that includes the child in sessions. The number of sessions varies depending on the severity of the problems (typically ranging from 6 to 16 sessions).

Typically, a mental health professional, often a psychologist, begins with a complete evaluation of the child’s problems in daily life, including home, school and social settings. The evaluation would result in a list of target behaviours, i.e. behaviours in which change is desired. Target behaviours can be either negative behaviours that need to stop or new skills that need to be developed. This means that areas targeted for treatment will often not be the symptoms of AD/HD – overactivity, inattention and impulsivity – but rather specific problems that those symptoms may cause in daily life. Common target behaviours in the classroom include ‘completes assigned work with 80 percent accuracy’ and ‘turns in an assignment on time’. At home, ‘plays well with siblings (no fights)’ and ‘obeys parent’s commands on request’ are common target behaviours. After target behaviours are identified, similar behavioural interventions are implemented both at home and at school. Parents and teachers learn and establish programmes in which the environmental antecedents and consequences are modified to change the child’s targeted behaviours. Treatment response is constantly monitored through careful recording and observation, and the interventions are modified when they fail to be helpful or are no longer needed.

Clinical or educational psychologists, by training, are well versed in the principles and skills of behaviour modification in changing human behaviour.

Parent training programmes are important in assisting parents to develop appropriate skills to manage disruptive behaviours of their children with AD/HD. Some general principles of parenting have shown to be useful. They include provision of more frequent and immediate reinforcement, setting up of more structured guidelines in anticipation of potentially problematic situations, and provision of greater supervision and encouragement to children in relatively unrewarding or tedious situations. Studies
involving preschool children with AD/HD and their families have shown that parent training can lead to increased child compliance and improvements in observed parenting skills (Anastopoulos, DuPaul, & Barkley, 1991; Pisterman, McGrath, Firestone, & Goodman, 1989).

On emotional aspects of these children, Braaten and Rosen (2000) felt that children with AD/HD appear to be less empathic than those without AD/HD. In addition, children with AD/HD appear to show more negative emotion, particularly depression, anger, and guilt, than do children without AD/HD. Since negative affects are more socially unacceptable and thereby produce more salient, long-term negative social consequences for the individual relative to the positive emotions, it is inevitable that children with AD/HD are at a particular disadvantage in their academic, emotional, and psychosocial development. Indeed, Sukhodolsky et al. (2005) suggested that impairments of school, social, and emotional functioning might be associated with AD/HD. Barkley (1990) commented that children with AD/HD constitute the greatest number of referrals to child guidance clinics in the US. Proactive services for addressing the emotional needs of AD/HD children should thus be in place.

In 2002, the World Psychiatric Association (WPA) Presidential Programme on Child Mental Health was launched to develop a comprehensive set of tools to address countries’ needs for a systematic, evidence-based approach to address child and adolescent mental health problems. An Integrated Services Programme (ISP) was set up under this Programme and developed by a task force of international experts. A treatment manual for externalizing disorders (i.e., Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Oppositional Defiant Disorder, Conduct Disorder) was developed and implemented in various countries (So et al., 2005). The manual, adapted from previous evidence-based manuals, was purposely intended to be brief, 8-12 sessions, and involve both the child and parents in treatment activities. The manual was drawn from the current literature of evidence-based interventions (Arnold et al., 1997; Barkley, 1997; So et al., 2004).

Scientific data from the last four decades indicate that stimulant medication, behaviour therapy (for the child and parents), and behaviour modification in classroom settings are evidence-based treatments for AD/HD. However, treatment effectiveness for each child is greatly impeded or facilitated by various factors including the quality of medical/psychosocial management, treatment adherence, collaboration between different professionals, and variations in the life of each child and the family. Consequently, highly specialized professionals are required to conduct
thorough clinical assessment, formulate a comprehensive treatment plan, provide evidence-based treatments, and monitor treatment progress.

**Educational intervention**

Studies found that students with AD/HD often had persistent academic problems such as low average marks, failed grades, expulsions or dropout from school, and a low rate of graduation from college (Weiss & Hechtman as cited in Johnston, 2002; Ingersoll, 1988). A study by Barkley and colleagues (1990b) found that 46 percent of their students with AD/HD had been suspended and 11 percent had been expelled. Each of AD/HD’s core symptoms—inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity—may be the cause of failures in school. Difficulty sustaining attention to a task may result in missing important details in assignments, daydreaming during lectures and other activities, and difficulty organizing assignments. Hyperactivity may be expressed in either verbal or physical disruptions in class. Impulsivity may lead to careless errors, responding to questions without fully formulating the answers, and only attending to activities that are entertaining or novel (Zentall, 1993). Overall, students with AD/HD experience more problems with school performance than their non-affected peers.

As a result, the classroom should be a major context in which treatment of AD/HD problem behaviours takes place. It has often been found that treatment effects established in the clinic do not transfer to other contexts, including the school. According to Barkley (2004), “treatments for AD/HD will be most helpful when they assist with the performance of a particular behaviour at the point (place and time) of performance in the natural environments where and when such behaviour should be performed.” Therefore, it is only reasonable that children with AD/HD also receive treatments in their schools.

Educational interventions consist of three components: academic instruction; behavioural interventions; and classroom accommodations.

**Academic instruction.** Research in the past thirty years has identified a number of effective evidence-based instructional practices for helping children with AD/HD. They include both general instructional strategies and individualized instructional practices. Students with AD/HD learn best with carefully structured lessons. Effective teachers preview their expectations about what students will learn and how they should behave during the lesson. Children with AD/HD may have different ways of learning than traditional reading and listening. Effective teachers first identify areas in which each child requires extra assistance and then use special strategies to provide structured
opportunities for the child to review and master an academic lesson that was previously presented to the entire class. Strategies that may help facilitate this goal include the following: reducing noise levels, structuring classrooms formally as opposed to informally, seating students with AD/HD in front seats, and providing frequent breaks between learning tasks. Providing written instructions, breaking tasks into smaller steps, and using visual aids can be helpful. Brief directions given in a firm, calm manner with teacher proximity also maximize the extent to which students with AD/HD respond positively to the teacher. Many AD/HD students are easily distracted and have difficulty focusing attention on the tasks at hand. They need to be trained to use organization skills in managing homework and other daily assignments. Students with AD/HD often have difficulty finishing their assignments on time and need to be assisted with practice on time management skills.

_Behavioural interventions._ The second major component of effective educational interventions for children with AD/HD involves the use of _behavioural interventions_. Children with AD/HD often act immaturely and have difficulty learning how to control their impulsivity and hyperactivity. They may have difficulty thinking through the social consequences of their actions and may have problems forming friendships with other children in the class. Behavioural interventions may be used to assist students to produce behaviours that are conducive to their own learning and that of their classmates. Providing behaviour consequences, setting clear goal structures and task elements, altering antecedent task and environmental conditions, and providing modeling and additional practice have been found very useful for this purpose (Zentall, 2005). The optimal classroom is one with moderate but consistent discipline, clear expectations, frequent rewards for progress, and positive reinforcement for positive behaviour and impulse control.

_Classroom accommodations._ The third component of effective educational interventions for children with AD/HD involves physical _classroom accommodations_. Children with AD/HD often have difficulty adjusting to the structured environment of a classroom, determining what is important, and focusing on their assigned work. They are easily distracted by other children or by nearby activities in the classroom. As a result, many children with AD/HD benefit from accommodations that reduce distractions in the classroom environment and help them to stay on task and learn. Simple accommodations within the physical and learning environments of the classroom such as sitting close to the teacher or a role model can benefit children with AD/HD. Skilled teachers also use special instructional tools to modify the classroom learning environment and accommodate the special needs of their students with AD/HD.
Co-operation between school and home

Numerous studies have found that positive results occur when the major stakeholders in a student’s education collaborate to address a child’s problems (Blazer, 1999; Bos, 1999; Bos, Nahmias, & Urban, 1999; Nahmias, 1995; Williams & Cartledge, 1997). Effective collaboration and communication between home and school provide structure across the two major settings in the child’s life. Common rewards, reinforcement strategies, and language help to promote consistency across settings.

Bos et al. (1999) reported that collaborative partnerships between home and school were especially important during the initial assessment of the child’s disability and educational needs, the development of behaviour modification plans, the evaluations of medication, and the coordination of assignments. Parents and teachers can share information with one another if they work together to plan behavioural and academic strategies for the student. Parents can offer information about the child—including the child’s medical history, hobbies and interests, reinforcers that are effective for this child, and behaviour in other settings—that may inform the decisions made by the teacher and other members of the individualized educational planning (IEP) team. The teacher can keep parents informed about their child’s progress, performance, and behaviour in school. If the child is taking medication, the teacher can offer feedback to parents on how the medication affects the student’s performance and the duration of the medicine’s effectiveness. This information also can be used to help medical professionals make more informed decisions about the child with AD/HD.

Multimodal treatment

The multimodal treatment of AD/HD often involves all the above medical, behavioural and educational interventions. This comprehensive approach consists of parent and child education about diagnosis and treatment, behaviour management techniques, medication, and school programming and supports. The severity and type of AD/HD may be factors in deciding which components are necessary.

The Multimodal Treatment study of children with AD/HD (MTA Cooperative Group, 1999a) was a collaboration of six independent research teams in North America. It studied 579 children (80% males), age 7 to 9.9 years in the United States and Canada, receiving treatment for 14 months. It showed that children who received medical treatment alone or combined medical and behavioural treatment demonstrated a significantly greater improvement in most AD/HD symptoms than those who just
received behavioural treatment and routine community care. The behavioural component of combined treatment improved non-AD/HD symptoms such as social skills and parent-child relations, and is associated with positive functioning outcomes. Similarly, parent training (which includes positive parental attention and rewards for the child’s appropriate behaviour) when combined with medication, decreased oppositional behaviour and enhanced parent-child relations more than medications alone. Overall, it seems that an approach involving pharmacological, behavioural and educational interventions with home-school partnership is currently the most efficacious and preferred treatment for the child with AD/HD. A related issue is that due to the chronic nature of AD/HD, children with AD/HD might need repeated episodes of multi-component treatment over the course of their life and that their progress should be monitored (Barkley, 1998). Whether all or some of the components of treatment will be used for a child and the family will depend on their needs at the time. A follow up of the MTA study showed that the MTA medication strategy showed persisting superiority over behavioural treatment and community care in AD/HD and ODD symptoms at 24 months follow up, although not as great as 14 months. Significant additional benefits of combined management over medication management, however, was not found (MTA Cooperate Group, 2004).

**Complementary and alternative medicine**

Other treatment options claim to alleviate impairments of AD/HD. In certain cases, the claim for efficacy has not received endorsement according to standards held by the scientific community. These include EEG biofeedback, megavitamins and other nutritional supplements, dietary intervention, sensory integration training, and exercise treatment. Dietary treatments include eliminating one or more foods in a patient’s diet (e.g., sugar, candy and food with red dye). The concept involves sensitivity to certain foods, which in turn causes symptoms of AD/HD. Despite a few positive reports, most controlled studies do not support this hypothesis (Arnold, 2002). Nutritional supplements and large doses of vitamins, believed to be deficient in the diet, are recommended to be added to the child’s intake. Scientists have yet to find support for these recommendations. Sensory integration (SI) training is not recognized as a treatment for AD/HD. Some pediatricians and occupational therapists feel that SI dysfunction is a possible associated finding in some children with AD/HD. However, further studies have to be done to ascertain the relationship between SI dysfunction and symptoms of AD/HD. EEG biofeedback is a suggested intervention for AD/HD, based on findings that individuals with AD/HD may show low levels of arousal in frontal brain areas. In biofeedback treatment, individuals
with AD/HD are taught to increase arousal levels in these regions to levels more similar to those found in those without AD/HD. Well-controlled large groups studies have yet to be done to support the effectiveness of this treatment. A programme of individualized exercises purported to improve “cerebella developmental delay” is claiming to alleviate dyslexia and attention deficit disorder. There is as yet no scientifically recognized and peer reviewed evidence to support these claims for treatment of AD/HD.

OUTCOME AND COSTS TO SOCIETY

AD/HD is a complex psychiatric disorder caused by heterogeneous factors (i.e., genetic, biological, psychosocial) and complicated by various co-occurring psychiatric disorders and a range of short-term impairments and long-term sequel resulting in personal sufferings and severe cost to the society (see Figure below).

Developmental Impact of ADHD

A growing body of literature, primarily published in the United States, has demonstrated that AD/HD places a substantial economic burden on cases, families, and third party payers. The economic implications included direct treatment costs, increased rates of co-morbid psychiatric disorders, high accident rates, work loss, criminality, and cost to the family (Appendix Box 3).

Results on the medical cost studies consistently indicated that children with AD/HD had higher annual medical costs than either matched controls (difference ranged from US$ 503 to $1,343) or non-matched controls (difference ranged from US$ 207 to $1,560) without AD/HD (Matza, Paramore & Prasad, 2005). The costs of AD/HD to families include financial cost of medical treatment of child, indirect costs of parents include efforts to manage the child, strain to parent child interaction and marital
relationships, high parental stress (physical and mental), missed work and the implication to parents’ employer. Costs of criminality include the fact that childhood AD/HD is associated with criminality in adolescence and adulthood. Children with AD/HD were found to have higher juvenile and adult arrest rates, and adolescents with AD/HD were more likely to be on probation, in jail or assigned to a social worker by the court. The mean total criminal costs were dramatically greater for AD/HD cases than for controls ($12,868 versus $498). The costs of co-morbidities are due to the fact that children with AD/HD tend to have elevated rates of other psychiatric conditions such as oppositional defiant disorder, conduct disorder, anxiety disorder, depression and bipolar disorder, and learning disabilities. These co-morbid disorders substantially increase the costs of treating children with AD/HD. The costs of accidents are due to the fact the cases with AD/HD are more accident prone and more likely to experience injuries as a result. Among adults, the accident-specific direct medical costs were significantly higher among individuals with AD/HD than among the control group ($642 versus $194). In a study comparing persons with and without AD/HD, those with AD/HD were more likely to have diagnoses in multiple categories including major injuries. The proportion with any hospital inpatient, hospital outpatient, or emergency department admission was higher for persons with AD/HD, and the 9-year costs for persons with AD/HD compared with those without AD/HD were more than double ($4,306 vs $1,944) (Leibson C.L., Katusic, S.K., Barbaresi W.J., Ransom J. and O’Brien P.C. 2001). The costs of work loss in adult with AD/HD is due to poor job performance, lower occupational status, less job stability, and increased absence days when compared to control. The excess costs related to work loss (i.e. difference between adult AD/HD cases and matched controls) were $1.20 billion for women with AD/HD and $ 2.26 million for men with AD/HD (Matza, Paramore & Prasad, 2005).

In addition to the above, there are many other well-documented outcomes of AD/HD with economic implications. One example is the detrimental effects of AD/HD on a child’s academic performance and behaviour in school, which place additional economic burden on the school. There may be increased need for school based supportive services, special education services, child and parent counseling, efforts to address disruptive behaviours, and efforts to develop individual educational programme. Another example involves poor driving habits of adults with AD/HD causing high rates of traffic accidents. All these economic burdens to third parties are serious and need close examination.
The research on cost effectiveness of treatment of AD/HD, primarily focused on the use of stimulant (methylphenidate), generally indicated that treatment of AD/HD is cost effective. The cost effectiveness ratios ranged from US$ 15,509 to $27,766 per quality adjusted life year (QALY) gained, an outcome measure that incorporates quality of life benefits and time (Matza, Paramore & Prasad, 2005). It indicates that effective treatments, while possibly increasing direct medical costs, are likely to reduce the overall burden of AD/HD by controlling symptoms, improving children’s functioning, and substantially reduce indirect costs to families and other third parties.

II. HONG KONG SCENARIO

PREVALENCE RATE

The prevalence rate of AD/HD in a sample of more than 3,000 schoolboys of age 6-7 years in Hong Kong was 6.1% according to DSM-III-R criteria (Leung et al 1996b). A recent survey with young adolescents in Hong Kong found largely similar prevalence estimates of 5.7% for boys and 3.2% for girls according to DSM-IV criteria. (unpublished data from Leung). These figures are generally compatible to those of Western studies using DSM diagnostic criteria of 5-10% (Swanson et al. 1998). According to statistics from the Child Assessment Service (CAS) of the Department of Health, the number of new cases diagnosed with AD/HD in years 2003 to 2006 was 186, 277, 361 and 450 respectively. Among these children, there were 6 to 8 times more boys than girls. There were a sizable number of preschool children (17-18% of all new cases). As Hong Kong children begin attending kindergarten from around 3 years, preschool cases are often identified as being disruptive or having difficulty following classroom activities while they are in kindergarten. Around 70% of the cases have average or higher intelligence. The most common co-morbid condition was dyslexia (around 30% of cases), followed by specific language impairment (around 10%) and developmental coordination disorder (around 7%). In addition, 397, 431, 500 and 671 children were found to have attention and/or hyperactive conditions at problem level in these four years respectively. These children exhibit difficulty attending to tasks, exhibit fidgety behaviour, while not fully meeting the diagnostic criteria of AD/HD according to DSM-IV or ICD-10. About a third of these children were younger than 6 years old. Among these children, there were 3 to 4 times more boys than girls.
LOCAL STUDIES

Validity of AD/HD in Chinese population

The following is a series of local studies in Hong Kong aiming at establishing the validity of the disorder of AD/HD in Chinese population. Is it a culture-bound disorder specific to the “permissiveness” of the Western culture? Or is it a more universal disorder with a strong biological basis? At least three criteria are required to establish the validity of a disorder: (1) a clustering of relevant symptomatic behaviours; (2) association with significant external correlates, e.g., deficits or risk factors; and (3) differentiation from other disorders, e.g., conduct disorder (CD).

A preliminary local questionnaire survey with teachers found that they complained as much inattention, hyperactivity and impulsivity in their Chinese students as their Western counterparts (Luk et al., 1988). A second large-scale epidemiological study in Hong Kong with more than 3,000 Chinese schoolboys of age 6-7 years provides more answers to the above requirements (Ho et al., 1996 a & b; Leung & Connolly, 1994, 1996, 1997, 1998; Leung et al., 1996 a & b).

First, factor analysis of the teacher and parent questionnaires confirmed the existence of an AD/HD factor, including those relevant overactive and inattentive behaviours. It was separable from an anti-social factor and a neurotic/emotional factor. This finding meets the requirement regarding the clustering of relevant symptomatic behaviours. Second, the AD/HD children, as compared to conduct-disordered and normal control children, were found to be associated with the following external correlates (i.e., deficits or risk factors): (1) a higher level of activities measured objectively by actometers or direct observation of gross body movement and gaze aversion; (2) more exposure to biological risks during pre-, peri-, and post-natal periods; (3) more histories of motor and language delays; (4) greater neurological abnormality (mainly soft signs); (5) greater impulsivity, e.g., jumping to conclusion and disinhibition; (6) greater inattention, e.g., fewer correct target hits and longer reaction-time; (7) greater distractibility, e.g., longer reaction-time in a Stroop test; (8) a lower reading score in a standardized reading test; (9) more teacher-rated academic backwardness; and (10) in short-term half-yearly follow-ups, AD/HD found to lead to CD, but not vice versa, i.e., AD/HD being a risk factor for the development of CD, but the reverse not being true. The above pattern of deficits and associated risk factors in Chinese AD/HD children is largely similar to that of Caucasian AD/HD children. In contrast, with few exceptions,
Chinese CD children failed to exhibit the above deficits and associated risk factors of AD/HD. Instead, CD in the sample of Chinese children was more associated with family disharmony and social adversity.

In sum, AD/HD as a disorder in the Chinese population meets the three criteria required for establishing it as a valid diagnostic construct. It exhibits similar deficits, associated risk factors, and differentiation from CD as in the case of AD/HD in the Western population. A commissioned commentary in *The Lancet* wrote that "Leung and colleagues have made an important contribution, by showing that one disorder, AD/HD, is not ‘culture bound’ and that changing our ‘Western permissiveness’ will not make it go away” (Anderson, 1996).

**Genetic studies**

To explore the biological etiology of AD/HD, a pilot genetic study was conducted with a small sample of local Chinese AD/HD children (Leung et al., 2005). In European-ancestry AD/HD children, a positive association was found between AD/HD and increased prevalence of the 7-repeat (7R) allele of a 48-bp variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) in the exon III of the dopamine receptor D4 (*DRD4*) gene located on chromosome 11p15.5. The frequency of the 7R allele varied greatly across ethnicity and was very low in the general population of Han Chinese (0-2%). Results of this local study found that none of the Chinese AD/HD children had 7R allele. This finding matched that of a Beijing study that preceded this study and a Taiwanese study that followed it. However, our local study discovered a unique finding of an increased prevalence of 2R allele among our Han Chinese AD/HD children. A recent study on sequences of individual motifs of the *DRD4* alleles and their linkage disequilibrium (LD) with two adjacent intronic SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphism) (G/A-G/C) found in general strong LD between the A-C SNP pair and the 7R allele. However, in the Asian subsample of this study, all Asian 2R alleles examined were linked to the A-C SNP, suggesting that the 2R allele in Asians might be originated from recombinations involving the 7R allele. Biochemical analysis also demonstrated that the 7R and 2R proteins had similar biochemical functions, though the latter having somewhat a more subdued potency, in the contrast to the 4R protein. Thus, the absence of the 7R allele in our Han Chinese AD/HD children did not necessarily reject the *DRD4* hypothesis of AD/HD. Instead, the haplotype of the particular 2R allele in our Chinese AD/HD children might be derived from the 7R allele and functioned to some extent similarly as the latter. This revived a variant of the 7R allele hypothesis of AD/HD in Han Chinese. Once again, it appears that the
Hong Kong Chinese AD/HD children share a genetic vulnerability that may be compatible to that of their European-ancestry counterparts.

The above genetic study (Leung et al., 2005) is based upon a case-control design, i.e., the genotypes of AD/HD probands compared to those of the population control. However methodologically, researchers are always concerned with issues of population stratification. An alternative methodologically more vigorous design is a family-based study in which the genotypes of the parents of the AD/HD probands are examined in order to identify biased transmission of the candidate allele to the probands, using the analytic procedure of Haplotype Relative Risk (HRR). The same group of AD/HD probands, recruited in the above-noted 2005 study, was re-examined using these family-based design and HRR analytic procedure. The result re-confirmed the association between the 2R allele of the \textit{DRD4} and AD/HD in the Han Chinese children. There was a biased transmission of the 2R allele from the parents to the AD/HD probands (Leung et al., unpublished data).

Investigation was also conducted with the dopamine transporter gene (\textit{DAT}). No association was found between \textit{DAT} and AD/HD in Han Chinese children (Leung et al., unpublished data).

**Neuroimaging studies**

A local study has been done to map brain structure in children with AD/HD using a voxel-based MRI study of regional grey and white matter volume. Twenty-eight male Hong Kong children age 6-13 years old with AD/HD and 31 closely matched controls were studied. Significant regional deficits in AD/HD were observed within a predominantly right-sided frontal-pallidal-parietal grey matter network and bilateral white matter tracts. Post-hoc comparisons suggested that comorbid ODD or CD did not greatly alter the extent of regional pathology in AD/HD. The exceptions being cerebella and striatal volume deficits, which were significantly greater in this subgroup, compared to controls. Overall, restricted structural brain abnormalities caused by AD/HD were localized to brain systems known to be necessary for attention and executive function (McAlonan, G.M., 2007).

**Studies on Assessment tools**

The Conners’ Teacher Rating Scale (CTRS), a popular and well-established questionnaire for AD/HD, was re-validated for use in Hong Kong to screen local
Chinese AD/HD children (Luk & Leung, 1989). A local norm table was also published (Luk, Leung & Lee, 1988).

Recently, the Child Behaviour Checklist (CBCL) and its two parallel offshoots, Teacher Report Form (TRF) and Youth Self-Report (YSR), had also been re-validated for use in Hong Kong for Chinese children and adolescents. However, it appeared that the parent-informant CBCL and teacher-informant TRF were better assessment tools to screen AD/HD than the self-report YSR (Leung et al., 2006).

**Intervention studies**

Behaviour therapy for the AD/HD children themselves and of parent training for the parents of AD/HD children were found to be effective in reducing the disruptive behaviours of Chinese AD/HD children in Hong Kong, including those AD/HD and ODD (oppositional defiant disorder) symptoms (So, 2005). These beneficial effects of psychological intervention were obtained on top of the medication treatment for AD/HD.

In support of management in the school, an enhancement of learning behaviour project for cooperation between schools, families, and community was done by the Kwai Chung Hospital and Department of Psychology of the Chinese University of Hong Kong (So, Leung and Hung 2004). Around the same time, a two-year multi-modal intervention programme and study of generalization of clinically trained behaviour of children with AD/HD to their school setting was carried out by the Hong Kong Institute of Education and Department of Psychiatry of the Queen Mary Hospital (Heung V., 2004; Heung, V.W.K., & Ho, T.P. (2003). This programme consisted of medication, clinic based parent training, child training, and consultation and liaison work with schools. Because of the difficulties of these children have in following classroom routines, a major portion of child training in the clinic focused on developing adaptive classroom behaviour in a group format. To help children sustain and generalized learnt classroom behaviour, they were taught self-monitoring and generalization skills. The programme went further to provide training to their teachers in schools. Evaluation by the children, parents and teachers was very positive. The multi-modal programme greatly enhanced the efficacy of treatment. The skills that teachers and parents learnt have resulted in improved teaching skills and parenting skills.
In 2002-2005, Cheryl YC So of Hong Kong participated as member of the Integrated Services Programme within World Psychiatric Association (WPA) Presidential Global Programme on Child Mental Health, with World Health Organization and International Association for Child & Adolescent Psychiatry and Allied Professions. The goal was to apply research-supported treatments to routine clinical settings. Treatment manuals were developed, covering internalizing and externalizing conditions including AD/HD. Cultural adaptations to respective communities were made, and ongoing in Hong Kong (So, Bauermeister & Hung, 2005). Training modules within this programme cover stimulant medication, behavioural parent training (BPT), child training to enhance the effect of BPT, teacher training and combinations thereof.

**LOCAL SERVICES FOR CHILDREN WITH AD/HD**

**Related Government policies**

All along AD/HD has been managed separately in medical, education and other sectors with little integration or overlap. Under the Health & Welfare Bureau’s Rehabilitation Programme Plan (RPP), AD/HD is still not included as a specific category that is addressed by RPP, although its potential inclusion is a subject of intense discussion in the current 2005 RPP Review exercise. As a result, programmes to address issues that may arise over the life span of an individual with AD/HD have not been developed through shared vision and cross-sectoral efforts that have the government’s participation and support. In recent years, the Education & Manpower Bureau added AD/HD to the list of special education needs (SEN) categories whereby additional funding and support may be provided to the school for identified students with AD/HD. These students’ difficulties are largely managed, as general behavioural and classroom issues, and collaboration with physicians taking care of these children are uncommon. The Hong Kong Examination and Assessment Authority may provide students with AD/HD with specific accommodations in open examinations if documentation of the condition and needs are demonstrated.

**Medical Services**

Traditionally children and adolescents suffering from AD/HD may receive treatment from the Child and Adolescent Psychiatric settings. At present there are five regional Child and Adolescent Psychiatric teams under the Hospital Authority. The services
span through tiers two to four (see 4-tier system in section on “Proposals for medical service delivery”), although the majority lies in tiers two and three. The source of new referral range widely, including physicians, psychologists, social workers, school personnel, as well as some walk-in cases in certain centres. Child and Adolescent Psychiatric teams provide tailored multi-modal and multi-disciplinary intervention management for AD/HD cases starting off with comprehensive assessment, diagnostic formulation, followed by short- and long-term follow up treatment, support to family, care-takers & school, as well as crises intervention during the course of the illness. Consultation services to other medical professions and the Education Manpower Bureau in the management for selected cases are also provided. The existing service also includes running of training programmes for other professionals and front-line child care workers (e.g. doctors, nurses, social workers, teachers), as well as organizing educational activities to the general public. The multi-disciplinary composition of staff includes child psychiatrists, clinical psychologists, specialized psychiatric nurses, occupational therapists, physiotherapists, medical social workers, dietitians and teachers.

The Child Assessment Service of Department of Health provides comprehensive assessment service to children with developmental problems, including issues in attention, hyperactivity, behaviour and learning. Developmental paediatricians and clinical psychologists evaluate these children. For those diagnosed with AD/HD, psycho-education will be provided and interim support in form of parenting training and medication will be provided as indicated. Liaison with the school personnel, provision of detail assessment summary to the school and advice for teacher on child handling strategies may be provided. The patient will be referred to the regional child psychiatric service for follow up, medication, training and counseling and long term management.

A significant portion of children presenting with features of AD/HD is currently managed by general paediatricians, family physicians and private psychiatrists.

**Educational Services**

The current policy of the government encourages students with special educational needs (SEN) to study in ordinary schools if they can benefit from the ordinary school setting. The goal of inclusive or integrated education is to help SEN students to reap the benefits of education from mixing and interacting with ordinary children in an ordinary environment.
At present, the Education and Manpower Bureau (EMB) includes AD/HD as one of the Special Educational Need (SEN) categories in the primary school service. The government will provide additional resources to the school on pro rata basis. The student guidance personnel, plus or minus the student support team, will formulate their individual plan of support to the students in their school. The supportive services could include special classroom arrangement and instruction, intensive remedial service, peer support, and behavioural management, etc. But their nature and quality are highly variable across different schools, depending on the experience of the school personnel and many other factors. The quality of these supportive services is also difficult to judge and lacks adequate monitoring. Educational psychological service provided by EMB or other outsourced agencies may give necessary support to the student guidance personnel, but these services are notorious as being very limited.

Community services and family support

Effectiveness of parenting skills is a strong predictor of how well a child with AD/HD will fare in adulthood. Behavioural parent training programmes have been used for many years and have been found to be very effective (Brestan, 1998). Although many of the ideas and techniques taught in behavioural parent training are common sense parenting techniques, most parents need careful teaching and support to learn parenting skills and use them consistently. Parental training programmes on child management skills aiming to establish consistent positive parenting practices and to eliminate harsh, excessively permissive, and inconsistent behaviour management practices have been demonstrated to be effective in increasing children’s compliance with parents and improving their relationships. In Hong Kong, they are available both in the community as provided by non-government organizations, such as the Boys’ and Girls’ Clubs Association of Hong Kong, the Supportive Learning Project of the Heep Hong Club and by child psychiatric services in the Hospital Authority. However, many parents may not be able to attend such training due to lack of time or determination. Since a number of these parents may also themselves have attention issues, effective implementation of learnt parenting techniques might not be possible. Research data on the effectiveness of these local programmes is sparse and much needed.
CHALLENGES & PROPOSALS

Medical Services

Challenges

Assess to service
Despite the recognized need for specialist treatment of this group of children, resources for assessment and child psychiatric services far fall behind demand. The waiting time of Child Assessment Service (CAS) ranges from 3–6 months, and that of the Child Psychiatric Services range from 9 months to 3 years for the first assessment, with a further wait of 1-2 years for subsequent treatment highly undesirable from perspective of early intervention. Around 10–12 groups of behavioural group training were held each year by all the child psychiatric services under Hospital Authority, which can only serve around 100 – 150 children with AD/HD. This must be very inadequate, with local prevalence at around 5% as cited. A significant portion of these children is treated with medication by the general community paediatricians or family physicians who may or may not have received focused training on management of cases with AD/HD. Most private practices do not have the time to implement behavioural or parenting training, nor to coordinate educational remedial services for their clients with AD/HD. In addition, communication among physicians, as well as their communication with other childcare professionals, is very limited.

Manpower

1. Paediatricians

   1. Hong Kong requires a total of about 850 paediatricians (Hospital Paediatricians 220, General Paediatricians 350 and Community Paediatricians 280 (HK College of Paediatricians data). These paediatricians as quoted comprise of general senior paediatricians (70), Student Health Services (40), Family Health Services (80), child assessment and rehabilitation (80) and Clinical Genetic Service (10). There are currently 463 qualified paediatricians, with a projected deficiency of 387. Child Neurologists: Of a total projected requirement of 28 Child Neurologists for Hong Kong up to 2010, there are 24 trained medical doctors in this subspecialty
2. **Developmental Paediatricians**: Of a total projected requirement of 30 Developmental Paediatricians up to 2010, here are 19 trained medical doctors in this subspecialty.

2. **Child psychiatrists**

Manpower needs for child psychiatrists vary with the scale of service and service pledge. Hong Kong’s child psychiatrists recommend the following parameters to serve as a guideline of a reasonable service for AD/HD cases, for which corresponding manpower should be planned and provided:

1. All new referrals to child psychiatry centers should go through a triage process
2. Waiting time for urgent cases should be within 2 weeks
3. Waiting time for less urgent cases within 8 weeks
4. Waiting time for non-urgent cases within 4 months
5. A new case interviewing session needs at least 2 hours
6. The first 2 follow up sessions (immediately following the new case interviewing session) should be at least 45 minutes
7. The subsequent follow up sessions should be at least 20 minutes
8. Treatment should be multi-modal, integrating pharmacological, behavioural and school management in various combinations depending on the individual needs & the unique family environment of that particular patient
9. Behavioural treatment programme should consist of weekly session for 6 months for both the patient and the parents/care takers, either in individual or group format plus a few phone consultations to class teachers
10. Waiting time for behavioural treatment programme should be less than 1 month

These parameters, particularly those on waiting time upon referral, often could not be met under the current manpower situation. In many instances as described in the above section on access to services, the wait could be up to years. Many fold increase in child psychiatrists will be needed to achieve the proposed pledge, and there are few options to achieve this in the near future. With limited alternatives for many parents at this time, the wait could mean aggravation of problems for affected children at home and school, and for families, and often long term detrimental complications and negative outcomes.
3. **Clinical psychologists**

Based on the local prevalence rate of 3-6% in school aged (6 – 18 years) children, the number of children affected with AD/HD is estimated to range from 16,000 to 32,000. At present, there are about 40 clinical psychologists (from the public service and non-government organizations) who provide diagnosis and intervention for children and adolescents with a variety of mental health and developmental problems. The recommended ratio of psychologist per capita in developed countries is 1 psychologist to 3,500 child population and the projected number of psychologists required for the child population in Hong Kong is 154. Thus, the existing number of clinical psychologists who work with children falls far short of projected demand. In order to provide much needed behavioural therapy group treatment and parent training for AD/HD children, the number of clinical psychologist positions in the public service should be substantially increased.

**Need for evidence based programmes**

In order that services delivered are valid and cost effective, medical service providers need to gather evidence on what works for children with AD/HD and their families in Hong Kong’s settings. These then will have to be disseminated to fellow service providers and trainees, such that an accountable care system can be assured.

**Proposals**

**Service delivery and medical manpower**

In response to observed inadequacies described above, a Task Force on Mental Health Services for Children in Hong Kong was established under the Joint Committee on Child Health of the Hong Kong Paediatric Society, the Department of Health of the HKSAR Government and the Hospital Authority of Hong Kong. The Hong Kong Society of Child Neurology & Developmental Paediatrics Working Group on AD/HD was also set up in response to perceived urgent needs of affected children and families. The purposes were threefold: to ensure evidence based practices, logical and efficient division of labour among different medical personnel, and to determine manpower needs and how they might be met. An adaptation of the UK 4-Tier Service Model for Hong Kong’s reference. This model was further modified by current key-players during negotiations at the forum for this position paper.
Tier One  Non-Mental Health Professionals
(e.g., Paediatricians, General Physicians, Nurses, Teachers, Social Workers)
- Identify at risk children
- Rule out underlying medical conditions
- Provide parent guidance and health education
- Initiate referral to Tier Two professionals

Tier Two  Specialized Team with AD/HD Experts
(including Child Psychiatrists, Clinical Psychologists, Child Neurologists / Developmental Paediatricians / Paediatricians with specialized training in AD/HD)
- Conduct thorough diagnostic assessment
- Formulate a comprehensive treatment plan
- Provide public education on AD/HD
- Provide consultation and training to Tier One professionals
- Initiate management pathway as follows:

Management Pathway

Refer to community care
(e.g., paediatricians, general physicians, private psychiatrists, private clinical psychologists)

After Review

Provide interim multimodal support

After Review

Refer to child psychiatric team
Tier Three  Child Psychiatric Team  
(including Child Psychiatrists, Clinical Psychologists, Psychiatric Nurses, Occupational Therapists, Physiotherapists, Dieticians, Teachers with training in special education, Medical Social Workers)  
- Provide intensive, multi-modal treatment  
- Conduct on-going assessment  
- Provide consultation to Tier Two professionals  
- Review progress and initiate step-down care if appropriate  
- Coordinate long term care with Tier One professionals and other community care professionals

Tier Four  In-patient Psychiatric Care  
- Provide intensive, multi-modal management to very severe, complicated AD/HD cases

Early identification of the disorder is an important but unfulfilled step currently. Non-mental health professionals (Tier One) can play an important role in identifying at risk children and referring them to receive diagnostic assessment. A specialized team with AD/HD experts (Tier Two) should be set up to conduct proper, thorough diagnostic assessment and to formulate a comprehensive treatment plan. Considering the nature and severity of the disorder and parent’s preference, children with a confirmed diagnosis of AD/HD could receive interim support (e.g., psychoeducation on AD/HD and a trial of medication) from the specialized team or they could choose to receive pertinent treatment in community settings. To address the stigmatization of the disorder and facilitate the help-seeking pathway, Tier Two professionals can play an important role in public education on AD/HD and provide training to Tier One professionals. For those AD/HD children with co-occurring psychiatric disorders, complicated psychosocial circumstances or medication resistance, they need to receive intensive, multimodal treatment which is provided by the child psychiatric teams with multidiscipline professionals (Tier Three and Tier Four). In view of its chronic/persistent nature, AD/HD requires consistent long-term case management and which could only be achieved by having seamless collaboration between different professionals.

Through this structure, it is hoped that clear indications are provided on when and where to refer children for different levels of professional care, with minimal delay in management.
and maintaining of seamless care. Provision of manpower in this system through training of respective professionals in the structure is essential for effective implementation of the proposal. These may be achieved through in-service strengthening of skills via continued medical education and formal postgraduate courses. Opening of necessary posts in public service (especially in child psychiatry) should be advocated for, to encourage young doctors and psychologists to go into respective fields. Through this system of shared care, it is hoped that unnecessary waiting time at bottlenecks may be alleviated, and evidence based services ensured.

Educational support

Challenges

Integrated Education
At present, AD/HD children are identified as one category of Special Educational Needs students, and receive integrated education. Although integrated education is “aimed at helping students with a disability to integrate into the mainstream as far as possible, so that they can receive an appropriate education alongside their peers,” in reality AD/HD students often do not receive the full benefits of their education experience. There are several major problems.

Class size
With the present class size of 30 or more students in primary schools, many AD/HD students do not receive sufficient attention or instructional support from their teachers. AD/HD children often learn best with multi-modal instruction involving visual and audio stimulation, and motor activities, with interactive activities involving teachers and the peers, with well-structured tasks, and with frequent and specific feedback. These modes of instruction require not only an individualized curriculum but also a lot of support from the teacher (e.g., special teaching strategies, preferential seating, and supportive technology). A big class size imposes severe constraints on what teachers can do to help AD/HD students.

Manpower
Teachers in the inclusive schools in Hong Kong are not supported by teaching assistants or paraprofessionals. The No Child Left Behind Act of the US recognizes that “properly trained paraprofessionals (teaching assistants) can play important roles in improving student achievement in Title 1 [inclusive] schools by reinforcing and augmenting a teacher’s effort in the classroom.” It specifies that all paraprofessionals must have “(1) completed two years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an
associate’s (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and be able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness).” It is unfortunate that there are hardly any properly trained paraprofessionals in the staff of the schools in Hong Kong.

Insufficient teacher training
At present, teachers do not have systematic training in helping AD/HD students. Although there are some professional development opportunities available, each of these opportunities has its own shortcomings. Firstly, initial teacher education programmes do not require student teachers to take courses related to AD/HD. As a result, beginning teachers may have completed teacher training with hardly any knowledge of AD/HD. Secondly, although in-service teachers can learn about AD/HD by taking continuing professional development (CPD) courses organized by EMB or NGOs, CPD courses often lack bridging between theory and practice. Thirdly, in advanced programmes (e.g., masters programmes), there is little opportunity for supervised practice (e.g. practicum) because of cost consideration.

As a result of inadequate training, many teachers are unable to manage the disruptive behaviour or to elicit learning behaviour of AD/HD students, making the outcomes of integrated education questionable.

Proposals

Small Class Size
At present, EMB is implementing a pilot scheme of small-class teaching at the junior levels of a few primary schools. The rationale for the scheme is that small-class teaching may be most beneficial to disadvantaged students. The definition of disadvantaged students includes students of lower socio-economic status and with weak family support, but not students with special education needs in general, nor AHDH students in particular. Given that AD/HD students often produce disruptive behaviour in class, and that they could be helped with individualized instruction and specific feedback, it is only reasonable that they could also benefit from greater teacher attention afforded in small-class teaching environment.

Paraprofessionals in schools
To help teachers meet the complex demands of inclusive learning environments, the supporting roles of paraprofessionals or teaching assistants are very important (Giangreco,
Edelman, Broer, & Doyle, 2001). Paraprofessionals should be trained in programmes that prepare them with knowledge and skills directly related to the six roles of paraprofessionals identified by Pickett, Likins and Wallace (2003), which are: (1) assisting teachers with organizing learning activities and maintaining supportive environments, (2) engaging individual and small groups of learners in instructional activities developed by teachers, (3) supporting teachers in functional assessment activities, (4) documenting data about learner behaviour and performance, (5) implementing teacher-developed management and disciplinary plans, and (6) assisting teachers with involving parents in their child’s education. Likins (2002) has also identified several core components of training paraprofessionals working with students of various kinds of special needs. Among these components, six areas are of particular relevance to the education of AD/HD students: (1) roles and responsibilities of paraprofessionals, (2) ethical issues for paraprofessionals, (3) behaviour management practices, (4) providing instructional support, (5) observing and recording student performance, and (6) teaming and communication skills.

Social workers in schools
Students with AD/HD may come to the attention of a school social worker first for misbehaviour in the classroom. It is very important that the school social worker has enough knowledge about AD/HD children to make referrals for medical services. Since the school social worker often serves as case manager in helping AD/HD children, he or she must be able to provide supportive services to the families of the children with AD/HD.

Beginning school social workers should be able to consider AD/HD as an underlying cause for school failures and dropouts, and to provide needy services for these children or adolescents accordingly. Experienced school social workers should be provided with continuing professional development opportunities in order to specialize in helping AD/HD children and adolescents, and their families.

Training of teachers (see section on Professional Training)

Coordinated services in schools
The services provided by teachers, paraprofessionals, educational psychologists and school social workers should be coordinated within the school and outside with the medical professionals and clinical psychologists in order to give AD/HD students the best services. A senior teacher heading the school’s learning support team should be assigned by the school for such liaisons of concerned parties. Within this system, school social workers could serve as case managers to call for discussions between school, families of AD/HD students, education or clinical psychologists and medical doctors. Teachers could work
with educational psychologists to develop behavioural and academic treatment plans for
the AD/HD students, and provide feedback to medical professionals regarding the effects
of medication and to the clinical psychologists regarding the effects of clinic-based
behavioural treatments. The senior teacher coordinator could oversee smoothness and
effectiveness of the collaboration, decide when case conferences are indicated, and initiate
and convene such conferences. Teachers should be assisted by paraprofessionals in
implementation of daily routines (e.g., taking medicine) required to take care of AD/HD
students.

Support in the community

Challenges

In devising policies and services for children suffering from AD/HD, it is noteworthy that
these children do not live in a vacuum. A survey of the literature shows that these children
need the support of the parents and their families. In addition, the objective as well as
subjective burdens borne by the parents or caregivers of these children should also be
seriously taken into account. Therefore, it is argued that a family-based approach in helping
children with AD/HD and their families should be adopted (Shek & Tsang, 1993a, 1993b).
Unfortunately, even with the implementation of integrated family services in Hong Kong,
the gap between rehabilitation and family service is still very wide.

Relative to comparison groups, parents of children with AD/HD report more frequent and
severe inter-parental discords and child-rearing disagreements, more negative parenting
practices, greater parental stress and caregiver strain, and more psychopathology in them.
Parenting training programmes and family supportive services gear to the needs of these
parents and their family members, such as the siblings are deeply insufficient, and
resources should be directed to the appropriate service providers (e.g. community service
providers, integrated family service centers, child psychiatric services). According to a
recent survey conducted by the Child Assessment Service on the service needs of a group
of parents (93 in number) whose children have been diagnosed to have AD/HD, they were
most concerned about the long waiting time for diagnosis at the regional child psychiatric
centers and then the long waiting queues for behavioural group treatment. They also
expressed their discontent with the lack of adequate resources and support for their children
at the mainstream schools. The Hong Kong Association for AD/HD, a local association
for parents of children and young people with AD/HD, has just been formed to advocate
and develop necessary services and support for the affected children and their families.
Their first priorities focus on setting up a supportive network for local parents of children
diagnosed to have AD/HD and advocating for needed services and support for their
children in the school setting and in the wider community.
Service coordination

Joint efforts in development of evidence based integrated services
All professional teams delivering support services to these children and their families should base their programmes on theoretically sound models with sound outcome evaluation components. Programmes that have been researched in Hong Kong, including those discussed in the section on “Local Intervention Studies”, should be taken forward and disseminated. It is inefficient and costly when efforts are duplicated in different research projects across academic institutions and sectors. Pooling of research data and clinical experience is essential, especially in light of the urgency for needed support.

Multidisciplinary input in service delivery
Management of AD/HD involves a multidisciplinary approach, and coordination among different disciplines is of critical importance. It involves the medical doctors who provide the medication, clinical psychologists or other community childcare/ family workers who provide the child behavioural training and parent training, teachers and educational psychologists who provide the educational remediation, parents of the children, and other personnel who are involved in the care of these children. In Hong Kong, there is a lack of platform for proper communication among these disciplines. Case conferences and liaison meetings have been held between HA Child Psychiatric departments, Child Assessment Service and regional Educational Psychological services of EMB, but they are not regular activity and the number of cases that could be discussed in these meetings is very limited. Only for those very difficult cases will there be an IEP (Individualized Educational Plan) meeting held in the school involving school personnel, parents, plus or minus other professionals who are taking care of the children. Although this kind of IEP meeting is readily held in the schools in developed countries such as USA, we are just starting to apply it in Hong Kong. Limitation of resources among public service providers such as child psychiatrists and educational psychologists has significantly hindered the time and resource that can be devoted to these coordination works. The psychological barrier of working with different professionals, service boundaries and other political reasons also hindered the communication among different professional, departments and organizations in caring of these children. For those children under the care of private service sectors, the coordination of care is even uncertain.
PROFESSIONAL TRAINING

Training of doctors

Current situation
Medical management of this condition as a specialty is clearly subsumed within psychiatry and developmental paediatrics, while other specialties including family medicine, internal medicine and neurology may also deal with this condition to varying degrees. The training of Hong Kong medical doctors on childhood AD/HD occurs at different stages and level of their career:

Training of Medical Undergraduates (MBBS)
These are trained under the university medical schools within the curriculum of mental health for children and are implemented as part of the integrative medical problems at the pre-clinical years and during the fourth year where undergraduates are exposed to problems of AD/HD in theory within the systemic lectures and in practical via the specialty clerks of Paediatrics and Psychiatry. There are no special modules for AD/HD as such. Exposure to AD/HD cases is very limited.

Training of Paediatricians
AD/HD is trained as part of the basic training under “Community Child Health” (currently known as training on Child Health at the Family Health Service of the Department of Health during Basic Training). Optional module of 6-month training in mental health can also be included during Higher Training period. This part of the training is under the ambit of the Hong Kong College of Paediatricians and mental health is included at the Exit Assessment for final qualifying assessment of paediatricians.

Training of Child Neurologists and Developmental Paediatricians
This is effected via guidelines produced by the Hong Kong Society of Child Neurology and Developmental Paediatrics and implemented via training units (Departments of Paediatrics) under the Hospital Authority of Hong Kong. As there are no official training programmes from the statutory body for training (the Hong Kong College of Paediatricians), training in mental health remains a professional rather than academic education. There is still no good quality control of training on the subject.
In-Service Training for Practising Paediatricians and Family Doctors

This is effected via the examination for Diploma in Child Health conducted by the Hong Kong College of Paediatricians, the courses on Diploma on Child Mental Health by the Psychiatry Department of the two universities, and the Annual Update Series on Child Health jointly organized by the Hong Kong Paediatric Society and the Hong Kong College of Paediatricians. There are also ad-hoc professional meetings on childhood mental health problems including AD/HD organized by the Hong Kong Society of Child Neurology and Developmental Paediatrics, the Hong Kong Paediatric Society and the Hong Kong College of Paediatricians accredited as Continuing Medical Education (CME) activities. Target recipients are paediatricians and family physicians. Response to these scientific activities has been overwhelming from the professionals. There is no specific course on AD/HD as such on regular basis.

Training of Child Psychiatrist Hong Kong is very short of Child and Adolescent Psychiatrists. There is an urgent need to increase the number of Child and Adolescent Psychiatrists in Hong Kong from the current number of 15 to 25. Child and Adolescent Psychiatrists provide the leadership in the multi-disciplinary approach and provide the care for the more complex cases. By providing support to all the other mental health professionals, the management of children with AD/HD will be much more effective and efficient.

At this stage, the number of trainees for child and adolescent psychiatrists are decreasing because the trainees do not see that there is a future in the development of child and adolescent mental health services.

Summary on of Current Training on AD/HD amongst medical doctors

This is grossly inadequate with lack of comprehensive training programmes, clinical exposure, quality assurance and in-service continuing medical educational activities.

‘The 2005 Survey amongst Paediatricians in Hong Kong’ conducted by the Joint Committee on Child Health by the Hong Kong Paediatric Society, the Hong Kong College of Paediatricians, and the Department of Health of the HKSAR Government revealed gross deficiency in clinical training, exposure and understanding of childhood mental health problems. There was unanimous out-cry from the paediatricians for more structural training on the subject so that they are ready to face the challenges in providing better services for our children in Hong Kong.
The number of Child and Adolescent Psychiatrist are grossly inadequate in Hong Kong. This cannot be replaced by asking adult psychiatrist to see more children. Without adequate experts in this field, the training, research and services will always fall behind.

It is thus imperative that a better system for training, service, research and resources support is imminently indicated in Hong Kong so as to enable professionals to deliver quality mental health services in alignment with the high standard paediatric services which Hong Kong has always been held in the highest regards by child workers all over the world.

**Proposals**

**Training of Medical Undergraduates**

AD/HD should be included as part of the training curriculum under the specialty clerk training on “paediatrics and child health” and “psychiatry”. The training should be under the ambit of basic medical training under the supervision of the medical schools.

**Training of Paediatricians**

AD/HD should be part of the compulsory 6-month module under “Community Child Health” (currently known as training on Child Health at the Family Health Service of the Department of Health during Basic Training). Further module of 6 to 12 months training in mental health can also be included during Higher Training period. This part of the training should be under the ambit of the Hong Kong College of Paediatricians and mental health should be part of the Exit Assessment for final qualifying assessment of paediatricians.

**Training of Child Neurologists and Developmental Paediatricians**

This is in the process of accreditation by the Hong Kong College of Paediatricians. Mental health should definitively be part of the compulsory module for training in both subspecialties. It should preferably consist of at least six months within the subspecialty trainings.

**Training of Child and Adolescent Psychiatrist**

There is an urgent need to increase the number of child and adolescent psychiatrists in Hong Kong. To do so, the number of child and adolescent psychiatrist position in the HA child and adolescent mental health services should be substantially increased. The initial target is to increase by 100%.
Training of Adult Psychiatrists

Adults with AD/HD are now recognized as not uncommon and there is an increasing need to provide services for adult with AD/HD. AD/HD often exists as co-existing conditions with other mental health conditions. Adult psychiatrist training programme should include training for the recognition and treatment of adults with AD/HD.

In-Service Training for Practising Doctors (Non psychiatrists)

1. **Training of Family Physicians and Child Health Professionals**
   
   This can be effected via Diploma and Certificate Courses hosted by qualified professional bodies (Hong Kong Paediatric Society, Hong Kong College of Paediatricians, Hong Kong College of Psychiatrists, Hong Kong Society for Psychiatry and others) with good accreditation, quality assurance and full accountability in training. Mental health problems should be included as part of the syllabus for the Diploma of Child Health training and examination. There should also be regular in-serve training on childhood mental health problems by local and international experts on the subject accreditable for CME/CPD activities.

2. **Training of Paediatricians**
   
   This can be achieved via Diploma Courses with interactive curriculum on areas of childhood mental health issues at least 40 credit hours per course with small classes (not more than 20 trainees per class), intensive exploration of clinical problems, and with continual surveillance of the training course to ensure good quality control and high standard outcome. There should also be regular CME/CPD activities on the subject to provide update knowledge, information and skills on AD/HD for paediatricians.

3. **Update Training for Child Neurologists (CN) and Developmental Paediatricians (DP)**
   
   There should be regular day-release course on the subject organized by the Hong Kong Society of Child Neurology and Developmental Paediatrics, the Hong Kong College of Paediatricians, the Hong Kong College of Psychiatrists to bring the most up-to-date knowledge which is essential for quality service within the subspecialties. The CN and DP subspecialists being goal-keeper at Level Two services are obligatory to take up the mission to update themselves and to educate fellow paediatrician on AD/HD.
Training of Clinical psychologists

Current situation
Training of professional clinical psychologists in Hong Kong is provided by the M.Soc.Sc. in Clinical Psychology programmes offered by both HKU and CUHK. The theoretical and clinical aspects of AD/HD are covered in courses of psychopathology and intervention. Depending on the settings of the clinical placements, some clinical psychologists-in-training have practical experience in diagnosing and treating AD/HD children. However, this is far from adequate from making the graduates having specialist knowledge on AD/HD. In this connection, seminars or workshops on the subject of AD/HD by international experts are available on an irregular basis as a form of continual professional education.

There is no formal in-service training mechanism for practicing clinical psychologists.

Proposals

Diploma or Certified Courses with interactive curricula on the diagnostic and intervention aspects of AD/HD could be jointly hosted by professional or academic bodies, such as the Division of Clinical Psychology of the Hong Kong Psychological Society, the Hong Kong College of Psychiatrists, and the universities.

Specialized attachment training programmes on AD/HD for practicing psychologists may be made available at the various psychiatric units and child assessment settings. Manpower and resources support are indicated to make these programmes viable and benefiting to a large number of interested professionals.

Training of Educational Psychologists

Current situation
Training of Educational Psychologist (Professional Practice) in Hong Kong is provided by the Department of Psychology of the University of Hong Kong. The programme includes both courses and supervised practicum. Three courses in particular include AD/HD in their coverage. (1) Psycho-Educational Assessment: which introduces formal and informal techniques and instruments for assessment of students with special educational needs. (2) Children with Special Needs I & II: which covers basic concepts, diagnostic and remedial procedures for students with different educational needs. (3) Psycho-Educational Intervention: which introduces different approaches of interventions, some of which may
be useful for training students with AD/HD. **For fieldwork placement,** some educational psychologists-in-training may have opportunities to learn under the supervision of senior educational psychologist how to provide professional consultations to teachers and parents in helping AD/HD students.

This programme admits only 20 students every two years, which is not sufficient to meet the ever-increasing demand for EP. Recently, EMB has proposed to upgrade the EP-School ratio to 1:5 or 12 and 1:12, for the primary and secondary sectors, respectively. But there is no specified date for this target to realize.

**Proposals**

AD/HD should be a mandatory component of EP programmes. In addition to course work, each student-EP should be placed in a supervised fieldwork related to identifying and helping children with AD/HD.

The EP-School ratio should be set at 1 to 5 for both primary and secondary schools, which means that there need to be more than 200 EPs. To accomplish this objective in a reasonable time frame, the universities in Hong Kong should start new EP programmes or admit more students into the existing programmes. Provision of a senior educational psychologist position should also be given to educational psychologists who specialize in helping AD/HD students.

**Training of Teachers**

**Current Situation**

**Initial Teacher Education**

Beginning teachers in Hong Kong are trained in either Bachelor Degree (BEd or BA) or Postgraduate Diploma in Education (PGDE) programmes. Our survey found more than 50 such programmes, including distance-learning programmes. Among these, 21 have at least one course or module related to AD/HD (see Table 1). However, the course is usually a general course on inclusive education, student diversity, or special education needs, which at best may include AD/HD as one topic in the course syllabus. Furthermore, the course is usually offered as a free elective and not a required course. The implication is that graduates of these teacher education programmes may not be informed about AD/HD.

Currently, there is only one initial teaching education programmes specialized in helping special educational needs students. It is a PGDE (Major: Special Education,
2-year Part-time mode) offered by HKU. Although HKIEEd offers a PGDE (Special Needs Strand, 2-year Part-time mode) and an BEd (Special Needs, 3-year Part-time mode) programmes, they are for in-service teachers who already possess a certificate in education or equivalent.

In short, the initial teacher education programmes in Hong Kong provide beginning teachers very little training related to helping AD/HD students.

**Continuing Professional Development**

For in-service teachers who are interested in knowing more about AD/HD, EMB invites local educational service providers to organize Continuing Professional Development (CPD) courses leading to a certificate. A notable one is organized by HKIEEd, entitled “Professional Development Course for Teachers (Catering for Diverse Learning Needs),” which consists of 90 hours of training. However, most of these programmes do not provide much help for teachers to bridge the gap between theory and practice (see Table 2).

**Advanced Training**

Teachers who are interested in more formal and advanced training may enroll in Advanced PGDE or Masters’ programmes with a specialization in Special Education Needs. The notable ones are: (1) CUHK- MA (School Guidance & Counseling) (Specialized in Special needs or Specific learning difficulties); (2) HKIEEd - MEd (Specialized in Exceptional Learning Needs); and (3) HKU - MEd (Specialized in Special Education). However, only some of these programmes (e.g., CUHK, MA (School Guidance & Counseling) (Specialized in Special needs or Specific learning difficulties)) offer their students supervised practicum (see Table 2).

**Informal Training**

From time to time, EMB, NGOs, or extramural units of universities offer workshops or seminars related to helping AD/HD students. However, these workshops or seminars have diverse organizers and audience. To quote from a report by the National Joint Committee on Learning Disabilities of the USA (1999), these “‘sit and get’ sessions in which relatively passive participants were made aware of the latest ideas regarding teaching and learning from ‘experts’” do not support organized and continuing development (see Table 2). The report stated further that “Today professional development must include high-quality, ongoing training that reflects a variety of approaches, with intensive follow-up and support. **NJCLD strongly believes that professional development is an ongoing process of continuous improvement that**
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includes meaningful needs assessment, intensive informational sessions, and long term follow-up and support. It is not an event." (NJCLD, 1999, pp.2)

Summary of current training on AD/HD amongst educators

Current teacher education programmes in Hong Kong are far from desirable in terms of training teachers to help AD/HD students. This is disappointing because we now know that, based on research evidence, there are effective evidence-based interventions or treatments that can help AD/HD students. But these interventions and treatments consist of multiple components and are not easy to execute. Teachers need to be trained in order to implement them skillfully. Research in the areas of teacher professional development and special education shows that evidence-based educational approaches need to be “translated” carefully and systematically into classroom practices (Gersten and Dimino 2001, Goldenberg & Gallimore, 1991). Educational changes need to be implemented, supported and sustained in schools. Teachers are also students when they learn new teaching skills and strategies and they need scaffolding and time for continuous development. It is imperative that they are supported by a coach, or a mentor, who provides ongoing assistance to them at their schools (Gersten, Morvant, & Brengelman, 1995; Little, 1987; Hamilton & McInerny, 2000). In other words, a new model of teacher training is called for.

Proposals

Teachers should be trained to use evidence-based multi-component treatment programmes that are effective for helping AD/HD students (e.g., Miranda et. al., 2002; Barkley, 2004. Teacher training programmes should also include scaffolding support by a mentor who provides ongoing assistance to the student-teachers at their schools (Gersten, Morvant, & Brengelman, 1995; Little, 1987; Hamilton & McInerny, 2000; Shiu & Cheng, 2006). That person needs to be knowledgeable about the instructional problems and the innovative practices, and to provide specific feedback to teachers on implementation issues for an extended period of time (Gersten & Dimino, 2001). Teachers’ efforts should be further supported by properly trained paraprofessionals (i.e., teaching assistants). The current supportive service provided by student guidance personnel and educational psychologist also need further review and improvement in terms of their quality and effectiveness.
Training of Social Workers

Multidisciplinary collaboration is vital to the treatment and rehabilitation of children with AD/HD. As such, different professionals should have adequate training on how to handle children with AD/HD and their families. Unfortunately, with particular reference to social work education, AD/HD is minimally covered in the existing social work training programmes in Hong Kong. In most cases, the "topic" may be covered in courses like "Human Behaviour and the Social Environment" and "Mental Disorders" with very slim coverage. Basically, under the current social work education at the undergraduate and sub-degree levels, it is not likely that the graduates will have an adequate understanding about the nature and intervention related to AD/HD. This inadequacy also applies to the postgraduate social work training programmes in Hong Kong (e.g., MSW programmes for graduates with no social work training). Furthermore, in view of the lack of evidence-based social work practice in Hong Kong (Shek, Lam & Tsoi, 2004), related elements should be injected in the AD/HD training programmes for social workers.

In-service training and certified courses with interactive curriculum jointly organized by education and social work authorities should be offered to practicing school social workers regularly. Attendance should be compulsory or strongly encouraged, with the contents of the course including skills in identification and initial assessment of students with suspected AD/HD, as well as counseling and group work skills for helping these students and their families.

Training of Para-professionals

Likins (2002) points out that paraprofessional training can take many forms such as credit-based courses offered through universities or community colleges, a series of in-service workshops, peer mentoring or apprentice sessions as well as systematic on-the-job training by a supervisor. In view of the rapid development of associate degrees in Hong Kong, it is suggested that paraprofessionals be trained in such programmes. The No Child Left Behind Act of the US (2001) also requires paraprofessionals to have at least an associate degree or two years of college. In addition, workshops and short-term courses should be provided for their continuing professional development.
**Time framework for professional development**

In order to effect quality service on the subject of AD/HD, training at all levels of care as stipulated above should be implemented simultaneously. The professional individuals involved would include family physicians, paediatricians, child neurologists, developmental paediatricians, health professionals and others while responsible professional bodies should comprise the Hong Kong Paediatric Society, Hong Kong College of Paediatricians, Hong Kong College of Psychiatrists, the two medical schools under the universities and all tertiary institutions responsible for training of health and social work professionals. It is estimated that with dedicated effort an effective service should be ready for Hong Kong in ten years’ time.

**PUBLIC EDUCATION**

In its rehabilitation policies, the government of Hong Kong has indicated its recognition of the presence and special needs of children and individuals with AD/HD. The next step is to foster an accurate and scientific understanding of the condition by the general public and professionals alike. A well-informed public (including parents, child caretakers, school teachers and family workers) enables earlier detection, diagnosis and treatment of the condition. It also avoids common misunderstandings and stigmatization of AD/HD, which will perpetuate the suffering of affected children, adolescents and adults. Public education through the mass media, professional education in undergraduate as well post-graduate courses and workshop to promote acceptance and effective support are necessary.

Advocacy work is the intrinsic duty of all professionals dedicated to child health in Hong Kong. Success of work on AD/HD depends vitally on evidence-based practice, professional readiness, resource availability, social justice and governmental endorsement and support in the community. All these attributes are ready in Hong Kong and therefore professional training is essential to bring all advocacy work into fruition, and to have all visionary concepts realized into good practice.
RESEARCH ON AD/HD

To facilitate the development and implementation of policies and services in the prevention and rehabilitation children with AD/HD, further research on the subject is important. Research on AD/HD, be it clinical or epidemiological, should be supported by academic institutions, the Hospital Authority and Department of Health. The neural basis of AD/HD and the neuroplastic/behavioural changes associated with interventions are important for understanding theoretical underpinnings of the condition. Studies for further understanding of the nature of AD/HD in the Chinese culture is necessary for understanding the ecological context within which to help these individuals and their families. Longitudinal studies including those on evolving risk-taking behaviours, antisocial behaviours, organizational and interpersonal skills would help shed light on the prognosis of this condition and relevant services needed for this group of children when they get older. Research on the prevention and early identification and intervention programmes should be funded, and evaluation of intervention programmes conducted. Valid, standardized, local screening and assessment instruments for different age groups also need be developed. Unfortunately, the existing literature suggests that related social research on AD/HD in the Chinese culture is far from satisfactory (Shek, 1995; Shek, 2002, Shek, Chan & Lee, 2005), and further efforts on this are essential to ensure that services could be culturally competent to the populations addressed.

CONCLUSION

Movement forward hinges on the cooperation and input from multiple sectors and multiple levels, including public services, academic institutes, non-government organizations and natural community support systems. Upon this platform of collaboration there needs to be effective programmes supported by evidence and delivered through partnerships with families and interdisciplinary processes including effective triage mechanisms and transitions between levels of care and services, as well as consultative support between the service providers. All these should furthermore be guided by an understanding of the cultural and ecological context of Hong Kong, by professional and administrative accountability, quality improvement activities and advocacy. It is hoped that the work of this working group on AD/HD will provide a small enhancement to the momentum of work towards serving Hong Kong children with AD/HD.
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Appendix 1

Box 1. DSM-IV Diagnostic Criteria for Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (APA, 1994)

A. Either (1) or (2):

(1) six (or more) of the following symptoms of inattention have persisted for at least 6 months, to a degree that is maladaptive and inconsistent with developmental level:

Inattention
(a) often fails to give close attention to details or makes careless mistakes in schoolwork, work, or other activities
(b) often has difficulty sustaining attention in tasks or play activities
(c) often does not seem to listen when spoken to directly
(d) often does not follow through on instructions and fails to finish schoolwork, chores, or duties in the workplace (not due to oppositional behaviour or failure to understand instructions)
(e) often has difficulty organizing tasks and activities
(f) often avoids, dislikes, or is reluctant to engage in tasks that require sustained mental effort (such as schoolwork or homework)
(g) often loses things necessary for tasks or activities (e.g., toys, school assignments, pencils, books, or tools)
(h) is often easily distracted by extraneous stimuli
(i) is often forgetful in daily activities

(2) six (or more) of the following symptoms of hyperactivity-impulsivity have persisted for at least 6 months to a degree that is maladaptive and inconsistent with developmental level:

Hyperactivity
(a) often fidgets with hands or feet or squirms in seat
(b) often leaves seat in classroom or in other situations in which remaining seated is expected
(c) often runs about or climbs excessively in situations in which it is inappropriate (in adolescents or adults, may be limited to subjective feelings of restlessness)
(d) often has difficulty playing or engaging in leisure activities quietly
(e) is often “on the go” or often acts as if “driven by a motor”
(f) often talks excessively
Impulsivity
(a) often blurts out answers before questions have been completed
(b) often has difficulty awaiting turn
(c) often interrupts or intrudes on others (e.g., butts into conversations or games)

B. Some hyperactive-impulsive or inattentive symptoms that caused impairment were present before age 7 years.

C. Some impairment from the symptoms is present in two or more settings (e.g., at school [or work] and at home).

D. There must be clear evidence of clinically significant impairment in social, academic, or occupational functioning.

E. The symptoms do not occur exclusively during the course of a pervasive developmental disorder, schizophrenia, or other psychotic disorder and are not better accounted for by another mental disorder (e.g., mood disorder, anxiety disorder, dissociative disorder, or a personality disorder).

Code based on type:
314.01 Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Combined Type: if both Criteria A1 and A2 are met for the past 6 months

314.00 Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Predominantly Inattentive Type: if Criterion A1 is met but Criterion A2 is not met for the past 6 months

314.01 Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, Predominantly Hyperactive-Impulsive Type: if Criterion A2 is met but Criterion A1 is not met for the past 6 months.
Box 2. ICD-10 Diagnostic Criteria for Hyperkinetic Disorders (WHO, 1996)

A. Inattention: at least six of the following symptoms of inattention have persisted for at least 6 months, to a degree that is maladaptive and inconsistent with the developmental level of the child:

1. Often fails to give close attention to details, or makes careless errors in schoolwork, work or other activities;
2. Often fails to sustain attention in tasks or play activities;
3. Often appears not to listen to what is being said to him or her;
4. Often fails to follow through on instructions or to finish schoolwork, chores or duties in the workplace (not because of oppositional behaviour or failure to understand instructions);
5. Is often impaired in organizing tasks and activities;
6. Often avoids or strongly dislikes tasks, such as housework, that require sustained mental effort;
7. Often loses things necessary for certain tasks or activities, such as school assignments, pencils, books, toys or tools;
8. Is often easily distracted by external stimuli;
9. Is often forgetful in the course of daily activities.

B. Hyperactivity: at least three of the following symptoms of hyperactivity have persisted for at least 6 months, to a degree that is maladaptive and inconsistent with the developmental level of the child:

1. Often fidgets with hands or feet or squirms on seat;
2. Leaves seat in classroom or in other situations in which remaining seated is expected;
3. Often runs about or climbs excessively in situations in which it is inappropriate (in adolescents or adults, only feelings of restlessness may be present);
4. Is often unduly noisy in playing, or has difficulty in engaging quietly in leisure activities;
5. Exhibits a persistent pattern of excessive motor activity that is not substantially modified by social context or demands.

C. Impulsivity: at least one of the following symptoms of impulsivity has persisted for at least 6 months, to a degree that is maladaptive and inconsistent with the developmental level of the child:

1. Often blurts out answers before questions have been completed;
2. Often fails to wait in lines or await turns in games or group situations;
3. Often interrupts or intrudes on others (e.g., butts into others’ conversations or
games);

(4) often talks excessively without appropriate response to social constraints.

D. Onset of the disorder is no later than the age of 7 years.

E. Pervasiveness: the criteria should be met for more than a single situation, e.g., the combination of inattention and hyperactivity should be present both at home and at school, or at both school and another setting where children are observed, such as a clinic (evidence for cross-situationality will ordinarily require information from more than one source; parental reports about classroom behaviour, for instance, are unlikely to be sufficient).

F. The symptoms in A-C cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, academic or occupational functioning.

G. The disorder does not meet the criteria for pervasive developmental disorders, manic episode, depressive episode, or anxiety disorders.

F90.0 : Disturbance of activity and attention

Attention Deficit:

● Disorder with hyperactivity
● Hyperactivity disorder
● Syndrome with hyperactivity

● Excludes: hyperkinetic disorder associated with conduct disorder (F90.1)

F98.8 : Other specified behavioural and emotional disorders with onset usually occurring in childhood and adolescence

● Attention deficit disorder without hyperactivity
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Cost</th>
<th>Less effectiveness in acquiring life skills</th>
<th>Lost income or economic opportunities for gainful employment</th>
<th>Lower quality of social activity</th>
<th>Treatment cost: Drugs, doctors’ time, equipment (both direct AD/HD-related costs and indirect AD/HD-prompted costs due to other health problems)</th>
<th>Coping Cost in the form of Extra staff and other resources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Who Bears Cost</td>
<td><strong>Patient: current year/Future years</strong></td>
<td>Adverse effects on life skills in general</td>
<td>Adverse effects on employment; greater chance of criminal activities</td>
<td>Adverse effects on building relationships</td>
<td>Both mental and physical problems, including accidents, are more likely, resulting in higher medical costs. The AD/HD group showed a significantly greater prevalence of oppositional, conduct, and substance abuse disorders, and greater illegal substance use than control adults.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Family: Parents/Siblings</strong></td>
<td>Takes away time and energy from upgrading one’s job skills and pursuing own interests</td>
<td>Parents suffer financial loss because of adverse career and employment effects</td>
<td>Adverse effects on social life</td>
<td>Mental stress; more chances for accidents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Current year</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Future years</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>School</strong></td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature of Cost</td>
<td>Less effectiveness in acquiring life Skills</td>
<td>Lost income or economic opportunities for gainful employment</td>
<td>Lower quality of social activity</td>
<td>Treatment cost: Drugs, doctors’ time, equipment (both direct AD/HD-related costs and indirect AD/HD-prompted costs due to other health problems)</td>
<td>Coping Cost in the form of Extra staff and other resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellow Students</td>
<td>Short term effect as effectiveness of class activity may be affected</td>
<td>Expected to be minor</td>
<td>Expected to be minor</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fellow Workers</td>
<td>Some adverse effect</td>
<td>Some adverse effect if the worker is part of a team and productivity is affected</td>
<td>Some adverse effect on social activity</td>
<td>Chance of some cost</td>
<td>Coping cost varies from individual to individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>May affect productivity and ability to deliver in time</td>
<td>Generally not applicable</td>
<td>Generally not applicable</td>
<td>Coping cost depends on seriousness of the problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>Disruption to community life may be in the form of crimes involving a degree of violence</td>
<td>Treatment cost included in Column 5</td>
<td>Spending to deal with problem may become greater if intervention is not taken in a timely or appropriate manner re or less costly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 2

Initial Teacher Education Programmes in Hong Kong

Postgraduate Diploma in Education Programmes

Primary Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUHK</td>
<td>PGDE (Primary) (FT/1) (PT/2)</td>
<td>22 credits</td>
<td>2 credits</td>
<td>0-9%</td>
<td>Teaching Students with Special Needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| HKIED                   | PGDE (Primary) (FT/1) (PT/2) | 35 credits | # | # | Understanding Students with Special Needs (E)  
Teaching and Curriculum for Students with Special Needs (E)  
Special Needs and Inclusive Schooling (E)  
The above courses are available in PT mode only. |
| HKOU                    | PGDE (Primary) (Distance Learning) | 50 credits | 0 | 0 | Nil |
| HKU                     | PGDE (Primary) (FT/1) (PT/2) | # | # | # | # |

Secondary Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUHK</td>
<td>PGDE (Secondary) (FT/1) (PT/2)</td>
<td>22 credits</td>
<td>2 credits</td>
<td>0-9%</td>
<td>Helping secondary school students with special needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKBU</td>
<td>PGDE (FT/1) (PT/2)</td>
<td>26-32 Units</td>
<td>2 Units</td>
<td>6-8%</td>
<td>Inclusive education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>PGDE (Secondary) (FT/1)</td>
<td>35 credits</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Inclusive Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKOU</td>
<td>PGDE (Secondary) (Distance Learning)</td>
<td>40 credits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKU</td>
<td>PGDE (Secondary) (FT/1) (PT/2)</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| HKU                     | PGDE (PT/2) | 6 modules | 3 module | 50 % | (Special Education Stream)  
Changing student behaviour (C)  
Adapting Curriculum and Instruction (C)  
Learning and Learning Difficulties (C) |

Subject Teaching & Subject Knowledge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City U</td>
<td>PGD (English Language Education) (PT)</td>
<td>30 credits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University / Affiliation</td>
<td>Programme</td>
<td>Total Modules/ Credits</td>
<td>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</td>
<td>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</td>
<td>AD/HD-related Courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>PGDE Subject knowledge and Pedagogy Strands (PT/2) • Chinese (Primary) (Secondary) • English (Primary) (Secondary) • Liberal Studies (Secondary) • Mathematics (Primary)</td>
<td>30-36 credits</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCE HKBU &amp; HKBU</td>
<td>PGD (Chinese Language Studies for Teachers) (PT/2)</td>
<td>24 credits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Others

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>PGDE (Professional &amp; Vocational Education) (PT/2)</td>
<td>35 credits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PGDE (Early Childhood Education) (PT)</td>
<td>35 credits</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Understanding and Managing Diversity (E)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bachelor Programmes

Primary Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</th>
<th>Total credit</th>
<th>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City U</td>
<td>BA(Hons) (Primary Education)(PT)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>92 credits</td>
<td>8-26 credits</td>
<td>9-27%</td>
<td>• Curriculum, Assessment and Inclusive Education (8C) • Education for Children with Special Needs I (9E) • Education for Children with Special Needs II (9E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>BEd (Hons) (Primary) Programme (FT/4) Major in • Visual Arts/ Music • Chinese • English Language • General Studies • Mathematics • Physical Education</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Learning Difficulties &amp; Enhancement in the ESL/EFL Classroom • Perspectives on Inclusion in Schools and Classrooms • Supporting Students with Learning &amp; Behavioural Difficulties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Hons) (Primary) Programme (Mixed/3)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>60 credits</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Understanding and Managing Diversity (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University / Affiliation</td>
<td>Programme</td>
<td>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</td>
<td>Total credit</td>
<td>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</td>
<td>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</td>
<td>AD/HD-related Courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKOU</td>
<td>BEd (Hons) Primary Education (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>80 credits</td>
<td>10 credits</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>特殊的教育需要 (10E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Hons) Primary Education (English Strand) (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>80 credits</td>
<td>10 credits</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>特殊的教育需要 (10E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Hons) Primary Education (Chinese Strand) (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>80 credits</td>
<td>10 credits</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>特殊的教育需要 (10E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLS (English) (Hons) &amp; PGDE (Primary) (FT/4)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>200 credits</td>
<td>10 credits</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>特殊的教育需要 (10C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLS (English) (Hons) &amp; PGDE (Primary) (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>200 credits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCE HKBU</td>
<td>教育學士(榮譽)學位課程 (PT/ Mix/ 3.5 - 4.5 yr)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>62 credits</td>
<td>5 credits</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>特殊教育 (5E) (for 基础教育 stream)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Secondary Education**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</th>
<th>Total credit</th>
<th>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>BEd (Hons) (Secondary) Programme (FT/4) Major in • Chinese Language • English Language • Visual Arts/Music • Business Studies/Technology &amp; Living • Physical Education</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Perspectives on Inclusion in Schools and Classrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Hons) (Secondary) Programme (Mix/3)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>60 credits</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Understanding and Managing Diversity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKOU</td>
<td>BEd (Hons) Secondary Education (English Strand) (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>80 credits</td>
<td>10 credits</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>有教無類 (10E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Hons) Secondary Education (Chinese Strand) (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>80 credits</td>
<td>10 credits</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>有教無類 (10E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Hons) Secondary Education (Mathematics Strand) (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>80 credits</td>
<td>10 credits</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>有教無類 (10E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BLS (English) (Hons) &amp; PGDE (Primary) (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>200 credits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Early Childhood Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</th>
<th>Total credit</th>
<th>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>BEd (Early Childhood Education) (FT/4)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Helping children with troublesome behaviours (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Early Childhood Education) (PT/3)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>60 credits</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Integrating children with special needs (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Teaching &amp; learning in early childhood education)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVE &amp; University of South Australia</td>
<td>BEd (Early Childhood Education) (PT/1.5 yr)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>12-14 modules</td>
<td>1 modules</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>• Helping children with troublesome behaviours (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrating children with special needs (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCE HKBU</td>
<td>BEd (Early Childhood Education) (PT)</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>171-181 credits</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Children with special needs (C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Special Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</th>
<th>Total credit</th>
<th>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>BEd (Special Needs) (PT)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>60 credits</td>
<td>27 credits</td>
<td>0-45%</td>
<td>• Meeting the Needs of Students with AD/HD (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Perspectives in special education (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Developmental challenges (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• School guidance and counseling (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Whole school approaches to inclusive practices (E)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Subject Teaching & Subject Knowledge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</th>
<th>Total credit</th>
<th>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City U</td>
<td>BA (Hons) (Teaching English as a Second Language)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Teaching students with special needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUHK</td>
<td>BEd (Language education) (FT/4)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>98-100 credits</td>
<td>2 credits</td>
<td>0-2%</td>
<td>• Teaching students with special needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Physical Education &amp; Sports Science) (FT/4)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>92 credits</td>
<td>2 credits</td>
<td>0-2%</td>
<td>• Teaching students with special needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUHK &amp; HKIED</td>
<td>BA (English Studies &amp; Education)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>141 credits</td>
<td>2 credits</td>
<td>0-2%</td>
<td>• Teaching students with special needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University / Affiliation</td>
<td>Programme</td>
<td>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</td>
<td>Total credit</td>
<td>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</td>
<td>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</td>
<td>AD/HD-related Courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKU</td>
<td>BA &amp; BEd (Lang Ed-Eng) (FT/4)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>240 credits</td>
<td>3 credits</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Children with learning difficulties (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Chin) (FT/4)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>240 credits</td>
<td>3 credits</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Children with learning difficulties (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Eng) (FT/4)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>240 credits</td>
<td>3 credits</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Children with learning difficulties (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKBU</td>
<td>BA (Hons) (English Language &amp; Language) &amp; BEd (Hons) (English Language Teaching) (FT/4)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>133 units</td>
<td>2 units</td>
<td>0-1.5%</td>
<td>Inclusive education and special educational needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BA (Hons) (History) &amp; BEd (Hons) (Liberal Studies Teaching) (FT/4)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>131 units</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSSc (Hons) (Geography) &amp; BEd (Hons) (Liberal Studies Teaching) (FT/4)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>131 units</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSc (Hons) (Mathematical Science) &amp; BEd (Hons) (Mathematics Teaching) (FT/4)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>131 units</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSSc (Hons) Sociology and BEd (Hons) Liberal Studies Teaching (FT/4)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>131 units</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKOU</td>
<td>BEd (Hons) &amp; BEng Lang (Hons)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>180 credits</td>
<td>10 credits</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>Inclusive Education (10C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>Please refer to the “Initial Teacher Training” programme under the categories of “Primary Education” and “Secondary Education”</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKIED &amp; Lingnan Collaboration</td>
<td>BA (Hons) Contemporary English and Education (FT/4)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>117 credits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKIED &amp; UST</td>
<td>BSc (Hons) (Biochemistry &amp; Science Education) (FT/4)</td>
<td>62*</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSc (Hons) (Biology &amp; Science Education) (FT/4)</td>
<td>77*</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSc (Hons) (Chemistry &amp; Science Education) (FT/4)</td>
<td>78*</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSc (Hons) (Physics &amp; Science Education) (FT/4)</td>
<td>57*</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSc (Hons) (Mathematics &amp; IT Education) (FT/4)</td>
<td>20*</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BSc Applied Physics &amp; Science Education (FT/4)</td>
<td>26*</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PolyU</td>
<td>BA (Hons) English Language Teaching (FT/4)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**UST** provide a 3-yr BSc programme. But after their first year of study, student can transfer to the second year of the BSc & Science Education programme, provided they attain satisfactory grade point average.
## Diploma and Certificate Programme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>Certificate in Early Childhood Education Programme</td>
<td>102 credits</td>
<td>Not specify</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Children with special educational needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Certificate in Kindergarten Education Programme</td>
<td>68 credits</td>
<td>Not specify</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Children with special educational needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKOU</td>
<td>Certificate in Education</td>
<td>20 credits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• English Strand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Chinese strand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mathematics strand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVE</td>
<td>In-Service Certificate in Early Childhood Education Course (PT/3)</td>
<td>20 modules</td>
<td>1 module</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>• Theories and application for special education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HD (Child Care and Education) (FT/3) (PT)</td>
<td>337 credits</td>
<td>3 credits</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>• Theories and application for special education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCEHKBU</td>
<td>Certificate in Early Childhood Education (FT/3)</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Certificate in Early Childhood Education (PT/3)</td>
<td>60 credits</td>
<td>3 credits</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>•  討論: 照顧學習障礙 學童</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Teacher Education Programmes with AD/HD Components

Initial Teacher Training - Postgraduate Diploma in Education Programmes

Primary Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUHK</td>
<td>PGDE (Primary) (FT/1) (PT/2)</td>
<td>22 credits</td>
<td>2 credits</td>
<td>0-9%</td>
<td>• Teaching Students with Special Needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>PGDE (Primary) (FT/1) (PT/2)</td>
<td>35 credits</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Understanding Students with Special Needs (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Teaching and Curriculum for Students with Special Needs (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Special Needs and Inclusive Schooling (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The above courses are available in PT mode only.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondary Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUHK</td>
<td>PGDE (Secondary) (FT/1) (PT/2)</td>
<td>22 credits</td>
<td>2 credits</td>
<td>0-9%</td>
<td>• Helping secondary school students with special needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKBU</td>
<td>PGDE (FT/1) (PT/2)</td>
<td>26-32 Units</td>
<td>2 Units</td>
<td>6-8%</td>
<td>• Inclusive education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>PGDE (Secondary) (FT/1)</td>
<td>35 credits</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Inclusive Education</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKU</td>
<td>PGDE (PT/2)</td>
<td>6 modules</td>
<td>3 module</td>
<td>50 %</td>
<td>(Special Education Stream)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Changing student behaviour (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Adapting Curriculum and Instruction (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Learning and Learning Difficulties (C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Others

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PGDE (Early Childhood Education) (PT)</td>
<td>35 credits</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>• Understanding and Managing Diversity (E)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Initial Teacher Training - Bachelor Programmes

### Primary Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</th>
<th>Total credit</th>
<th>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD-related Course in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **City U**               | BA (Hons) (Primary Education) (PT) | N/A                      | 92 credits   | 8-26 credits                             | 9-27%                                           | • Curriculum, Assessment and Inclusive Education (8C)  
  • Education for Children with Special Needs I (9E)  
  • Education for Children with Special Needs II (9E) |
| **HKIEED**               | BEd (Hons) (Primary) Programme (FT/4) Major in  
  • Visual Arts/ Music  
  • Chinese  
  • English Language  
  • General Studies  
  • Mathematics  
  • Physical Education | 319          | #                        | #                        | #                        | • Learning Difficulties & Enhancement in the ESL/EFL Classroom  
  • Perspectives on Inclusion in Schools and Classrooms  
  • Supporting Students with Learning & Behavioural Difficulties |
| BEd (Hons) (Primary) Programme (Mixed/3) | N/A                      | 60 credits   | #                        | #                        | • Understanding and Managing Diversity (E) |
| **HKOU**                 | BEd (Hons) Primary Education (Distance learning) | N/A                      | 80 credits   | 10 credits                              | 0-12.5%                                         | • 特殊的教育需要 (10E) |
| BEd (Hons) Primary Education (English Strand) (Distance learning) | N/A                      | 80 credits   | 10 credits                              | 0-12.5%                                         | • 特殊的教育需要 (10E) |
| BEd (Hons) Primary Education (Chinese Strand) (Distance learning) | N/A                      | 80 credits   | 10 credits                              | 0-12.5%                                         | • 特殊的教育需要 (10E) |
| BLS (English) (Hons) & PGDE (Primary) (FT/4) | N/A                      | 200 credits | 10 credits                              | 5%                                              | • 特殊的教育需要 (10C) |
| **SCE HKBU**             | 教育學士(榮譽)學位課程 (PT/ Mix/ 3.5 - 4.5 yr) | N/A                      | 62 credits   | 5 credits                              | 8%                                              | • 特殊教育 (5E) (for 基礎教育 stream) |
### Secondary Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</th>
<th>Total credit</th>
<th>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>BEd (Hons) (Secondary) Programme (FT/4) Major in  • Chinese Language  • English Language  • Visual Arts/Music  • Business Studies/Technology &amp; Living  • Physical Education</td>
<td>114 # # #</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Perspectives on Inclusion in Schools and Classrooms  Inclusive Techniques in the Regular Classroom  Curriculum, Assessment and Technology in Inclusive Setting  Understanding and Managing Diversity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Hons) (Secondary) Programme (Mix/3)</td>
<td>N/A 60 credits # # #</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Understanding and Managing Diversity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKOU</td>
<td>BEd (Hons) Secondary Education (English Strand) (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A 80 credits 10 credits 0-12.5%</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>有教無類 (10E)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Hons) Secondary Education (Chinese Strand) (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A 80 credits 10 credits 0-12.5%</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>有教無類 (10E)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Hons) Secondary Education (Mathematics Strand) (Distance learning)</td>
<td>N/A 80 credits 10 credits 0-12.5%</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>0-12.5%</td>
<td>有教無類 (10E)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Early Childhood Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</th>
<th>Total credit</th>
<th>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>BEd (Early Childhood Education) (FT/4)</td>
<td>90 # # #</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Helping children with troublesome behaviours (C)  Integrating children with special needs (C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Early Childhood Education) (PT/3) (Teaching &amp; learning in early childhood education)</td>
<td>N/A 60 credits # #</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Helping children with troublesome behaviours (C)  Integrating children with special needs (C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVE &amp; University of South Australia</td>
<td>BEd (Early Childhood Education) (PT/1.5 yr)</td>
<td>N/A 12-14 modules 1 modules 8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>Children with special needs (C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Special Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</th>
<th>Total credit</th>
<th>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>BEd (Special Needs) (PT)</td>
<td>N/A 60 credits 27 credits 0-45%</td>
<td>0-45%</td>
<td>0-45%</td>
<td>Meeting the Needs of Students with AD/HD (E)  Perspectives in special education (E)  Developmental challenges (E)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University / Affiliation</td>
<td>Programme</td>
<td>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</td>
<td>Total credit</td>
<td>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</td>
<td>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</td>
<td>AD/HD-related Courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>School guidance and counseling (E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Whole school approaches to inclusive practices (E)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subject Teaching**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Admission (JUPUS 2007)</th>
<th>Total credit</th>
<th>No of credit for AD/HD (or related) Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Course in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUHK</td>
<td>BEd (Language education) (FT/4)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>98-100 credits</td>
<td>2 credits</td>
<td>0-2%</td>
<td>Teaching students with special needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Physical Education &amp; Sports Science) (FT/4)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>92 credits</td>
<td>2 credits</td>
<td>0-2%</td>
<td>Teaching students with special needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUHK &amp; HKIED</td>
<td>BA (English Studies &amp; Education)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>141 credits</td>
<td>2 credits</td>
<td>0-2%</td>
<td>Teaching students with special needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKU</td>
<td>BA &amp; BEd (Lang Ed-Eng) (FT/4)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>240 credits</td>
<td>3 credits</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Children with learning difficulties (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Chin) (FT/4)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>240 credits</td>
<td>3 credits</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Children with learning difficulties (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BEd (Eng) (FT/4)</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>240 credits</td>
<td>3 credits</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Children with learning difficulties (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKBU</td>
<td>BA (Hons) (English Language &amp; Language) &amp; BEd (Hons) (English Language Teaching) (FT/4)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>133 units</td>
<td>2 units</td>
<td>0-1.5%</td>
<td>Inclusive education and special educational needs (2E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKOU</td>
<td>BEd (Hons) &amp; BEng Lang (Hons)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>180 credits</td>
<td>10 credits</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>Inclusive Education (10C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Initial Teacher Training - Diploma and Certificate Programmes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKIEd</td>
<td>Certificate in Early Childhood Education Programme</td>
<td>102 credits</td>
<td>Not specify</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Children with special educational needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Certificate in Kindergarten Education Programme</td>
<td>68 credits</td>
<td>Not specify</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Children with special educational needs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVE</td>
<td>In-Service Certificate in Early Childhood Education Course (PT/3)</td>
<td>20 modules</td>
<td>1 module</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Theories and application for special education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HD (Child Care and Education) (FT/3) (PT)</td>
<td>337 credits</td>
<td>3 credits</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>Theories and application for special education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Certificate in Early Childhood Education (PT/3)</td>
<td>60 credits</td>
<td>3 credits</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>orting, 照顧學習差異</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Advanced Teacher Training – Masters Programmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUHK</td>
<td>MEd (Specialized in) Curriculum &amp; Instruction</td>
<td>30 credits</td>
<td>0 – 3 credits</td>
<td>0-10%</td>
<td>Understanding AD/HD and related behaviour disorder (1.5E) Development variation and learning disabilities (1.5E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Educational Leadership &amp; Administration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Education Psychology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Policy Studies in Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sports Science &amp; Physical Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MA (School Guidance &amp; Counseling) (Specialized in)</td>
<td>24 credits</td>
<td>3 - 9.5 credits</td>
<td>10-40%</td>
<td>Understanding AD/HD and related behaviour disorder*(1.5) Selected topics in special needs education (1.5) Counseling students with special needs (1.5) Project in helping students with special needs (1.5)* Special-needs education: The whole-school school approach (1.5)* Project in special learning difficulties (1.5)* Developing educational plans for students with specific learning difficulties (1.5)*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Special needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Specific learning difficulties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*Compulsory for the Special needs strand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>^ Compulsory for the Specific learning difficulties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKBU</td>
<td>MEd (Specialized in) Children &amp; Adolescent Development Concentration</td>
<td>30 units</td>
<td>3 Units</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Problem behaviour in children &amp; adolescent (3C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>MEd (Specialized in) Exceptional Learning Needs</td>
<td>30 credits</td>
<td>15 credits</td>
<td>0-50%</td>
<td>Students with Emotional and Behavioural Challenges Advanced studies in special needs (C) Developing inclusive schools &amp; educational psychology (C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKU</td>
<td>MEd (Specialized in) Special Education</td>
<td>8 Modules</td>
<td>3 Module</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
<td>Inclusive and special education: theories &amp; practice (C) Assessment &amp; programming for learner with diverse abilities (C) Curriculum and instruction for learners with diverse needs (C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Advanced Teacher Training – Advanced Certificate Programmes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University / Affiliation</th>
<th>Programme</th>
<th>Total Modules/ Credits</th>
<th>No of Modules / Credits for the AD/HD Related Course</th>
<th>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</th>
<th>AD/HD-related Courses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HKU</td>
<td>PCAdvEdStud</td>
<td>3 Modules</td>
<td>1 Module</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>Special Education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUHK</td>
<td>APGDE in Guidance and Counseling (PT/2)</td>
<td>15 credits</td>
<td>1.5 credits</td>
<td>0-10%</td>
<td>Counseling students with special Need in Regular Classroom (1.5E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University / Affiliation</td>
<td>Programme</td>
<td>Length of Study</td>
<td>No of AD/HD (or related) Course</td>
<td>Proportion of AD/HD (or related) Courses in the Programme</td>
<td>Theme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMB</td>
<td>In-service Training Programmes on Special Education</td>
<td>120 hrs</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>Global perspectives on inclusive education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Professional Development Courses / seminars/ workshops on Special Education</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>The social and emotional development of gifted students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKIED</td>
<td>Professional Development Course for Teachers (Catering for Diverse Learning Needs) Level 2 (PT)</td>
<td>Level 2 90 hrs</td>
<td>30 hrs</td>
<td>0-33%</td>
<td>Overview of emotional and behavioural challenges (Elective)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKU SPACE</td>
<td>Teaching Distracted Learners</td>
<td>15 hrs</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Workshop on Handling Students with Emotional Behavioural Problems</td>
<td>18 hrs</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Certificate in English Language Teaching (Secondary) (PT)</td>
<td>6 modules</td>
<td>1 modules</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>Teaching Distracted Learners (Optional)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Music Therapy Workshop for Integrated Education</td>
<td>12 hrs</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>介紹音樂治療對有特殊教育需要學童的應用</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCE HKBU</td>
<td>一年制在職特殊幼兒工作訓練課程 (PT/1)</td>
<td>14 credits</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>舞動小宇宙 - 過度活躍孩子的處理</td>
<td>10-15 hrs</td>
<td>#</td>
<td></td>
<td>與兒童及成員有關的失調(如自閉症及過度活躍等)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>舞動與個態心理學</td>
<td>30 hrs</td>
<td>3 hrs</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>與兒童及成長有關的失調(如自閉症及過度活躍等)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>協康會與香港教育專業人員協會合辦</td>
<td>如同識別及處理注意力不足過度活躍症學童</td>
<td>3hrs</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>與兒童及成長有關的失調(如自閉症及過度活躍等)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>教育統籌局與英國總領事館合辦</td>
<td>英國特殊教育大型會議及工作坊</td>
<td>18 hrs</td>
<td>45 min</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>Understanding attention deficit and hyperactive disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>協康會與香港教育專業人員協會合辦</td>
<td>「是靚仔還是過度活躍」健康講座</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>過度活躍症的成因、病徵、治療、發病處理等</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>協康會與香港教育專業人員協會合辦</td>
<td>「靚哥靚姐好動過度活躍」健康講座</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>過度活躍症的成因、病徵、治療、發病處理等</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>協康會與香港社會服務聯會合辦</td>
<td>認識兒童及青少年身心健康</td>
<td>12 hrs</td>
<td>2 hrs</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>兒童情緒發展與常見的兒童情緒問題</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>協康會與香港社會服務聯會合辦</td>
<td>兒童情緒發展與常見的兒童情緒問題</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>情緒教育</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>協康會與香港社會服務聯會合辦</td>
<td>兒童常見精神病</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>#</td>
<td>兒童常見精神病</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>